

Illinois State University

ISU ReD: Research and eData

Codd v. Velger, 429 U.S. 624 (1977)

U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun

12-3-1976

12-03-1976 Notes from Oral Argument

Harry A. Blackmun

Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/coddvvelger>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H.A. Notes from Oral Argument, Codd V. Velger, 429 U.S. 624 (1977). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Oral Argument is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Codd v. Velger, 429 U.S. 624 (1977) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

12-3-76

The Chief Justice

Exigent relief at T court

Should write a PC of + says as
little as possible.

Brennan, J. vacate for new trial

Paul v Davis p 710

14th Am meal bill a tortious

Entitled to a hearing on + 1983 suit

as to who was in time

and relief is appropriate or sealing

Yes, a publication

also damage, if untrue

loss of time or in defam

+ he is entitled to us in + 1983 suit

Stewart, J. vacate

Back to recon Paul v Davis, Rest v Bishop
this I wrote one a panel others

Much like Rest + trigger what was in

Entitled him to a hearing on 1983 suit on 1/4 PD

No back pay bec v back v hq.

Damages for loss v Empt

hearing at + to v discontinue at + latest

[v us is + proof v falsity?]

White, J.

page in PS of false

I said - entitled on evid of failure
of proof. If no chance to
prove, I come out in PS

is entitled to a hearing on a trial
of "innocent"

Marshall, J. —

Child is right
no ally or falsi or defam.

for failure of proof

Powell, J. —

W/D Ct
No proof v. stigmatization by D
of false & defam, in stigmatization & in
Paul's book
Pol of non-dislosure is OK

Rehnquist, J. —

PC per us proof or falsity.
Main function pub-
Don't apply Paul's here.
Reputation is a lib not for protecting
Etc. ?
Paul's report is a lib not except to
effort Paul says it is. So
lectured unnecessary to

Stevens, J. —

Reiterate DCT just like 3 concerns
in proof:
1) what file contains
2) to tell Paul's his side
3) v. falsity v. contacts

Stigma:
become indelible - says a big in advance
and in published - must allege falsity
Cannot take stigma completely out of
if defam, by release at their pers
says a big.