

3-22-1977

3-22-1977 Notes from Oral Argument

Harry A. Blackmun

Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/dardenvflorida>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H.A. Notes from Oral Argument. Darden v. Florida, 430 U.S. 704 (1977). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Oral Argument is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Darden v. Florida, 430 U.S. 704 (1977) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISURed@ilstu.edu.

Improper governmental acts

No? so actual practices 'inhibited'

A. Prescribed pts raised by + State; small pts + raised 4/10 - many objections

No basic merit

Do S.C.T really covered - descent center 14 km

Objectives fall short but again the S.C.T ed \equiv plan error indicating

Let on to + merit

B. Standard on fed review + given comment - state trust letter

de Chastaigne? - says less scrutiny, here

at contents:

1. Improper guidelines - no pres on + guilt determination, + a used on sentencing
had comment on used

2. Appeals to fear + prejudice - largely defense preempted - def called
+ prohibited an appeal - improper but in preconceived

3. Poor credibility + + preconceptions - highly improper - but in a
reasonable magnitude in light of all + E

C. Whole is > sum of + pts - no

objectives or be minimized

+