

2-27-1983

## 02-27-1983 Notes from Oral Argument

Harry A. Blackmun

*Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/illvGates>



Part of the [Criminology and Criminal Justice Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H. A. Notes from oral argument, Illinois v. Gates, 462 U.S. 213 (1983). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Oral Argument is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Illinois v. Gates 462 U.S. 213 (1983) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact [ISURed@ilstu.edu](mailto:ISURed@ilstu.edu).



I I went along with rearguard, but, in a distinct sense, I now regret it

The case presents a-S-Draper. It is why we took it  
due to the fact —, "reverse" Draper + cut back on + hypertechnicalities  
of S & A — truly justifying Tom Clark's distress

This case is stronger than Draper

The info Carroll was suspicious in itself

∴ — + return + pc report to one to truly represent objective fairness.  
+ a B7 exception wd b unnecessary

R-X-Y-P?

who  
rearguard with

II Should we go on to + B7 exception issue?

1. Ch n b a power case + decide to issue

2. Issue was n raised or decided in + Ill ct's  
viols Cardinale v La.

issue n presented in + cert peti

3. Had it b raised B/ho, Ill nute hv declined + recog B7 exception U Ill  
can still do so on remand

4. If we so move, just hv + remand for factual fs.

5. The root of + prob is lower ct's tech struggle w/ A & S.

6. C5 hv + exceptions - cases we just + issue a b better

purp HS

CB look



III Should be a 29 exception? - No

The briefs he helped me

I've also been disturbed by ca + E rule

Now from no exception - on CBA approved

My usual in O.S. Johnson last term

1. No leniency at all, the, was to is now
2. Will lead to issuance v more invalid W's ✓
3. The rule is a scapegoat for the crime prob. - it so
4. It doesn't have for the rule
5. It's seldom we say what officer did is unclear
6. It's one major shopping
7. It's lose incentive to train & upgrade major
8. It's neither respect for the rules

27 Feb 83