

3-30-1983

## 03-30-1983 Notes from Oral Argument

Harry A. Blackmun

*Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/arizonavnorris>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H.A. Notes from Oral Argument, Arizona Governing Comm. V. Norris, 463 U.S. 1073 (1983). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Oral Argument is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Arizona Governing Comm. v. Norris, 463 U.S. 1073 (1983) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact [ISURed@ilstu.edu](mailto:ISURed@ilstu.edu).

3-20-65

The Chief Justice —

So life in Mantart  
optimal - get no private in so  
Very offery + + prof do in usual v. 11

Brennan, J. + ?

no make sense to say what we saw  
in Mantart + where  
already harder  
lean to +, but what is + rem

White, J. +

not at rest on + rem  
otherwise +

Marshall, J.

rem ?  
+

Powell, J. —

Manhart do in control  
this value, no es, gives Eec total  
control, no decision by + St  
years optus award on + genl wht  
based on accepted table

Agree mi H&B to cover a derogate  
effect on man

Rehnquist, J. —

If Manhart, to can be see? v rem.  
But v applies v Manhart

M is desirable

Ela v unfairness more explicit  
here to in Manhart

St. Red act & a 3P Trans

Stevens, J. +

? is Title VII  
In M we held + sex difs ch v b  
relied on the  
also time here

Rem is nearly adverse to man this  
try to on + & Table  
Unless table can push to higher  
on & Table

O'Connor, J. +

on wants +

Tit VII argues

M correct anal

only proponent can

anything else is too permissive