

12-1-1972

12-01-1972 Notes from Oral Argument

Harry A. Blackmun

Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/gomezvperez>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H.A. Notes from Oral Argument, Gomez v. Perez, 409 U.S. 535 (1973). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Oral Argument is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Gomez v. Perez, 409 U.S. 535 (1973) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISURed@ilstu.edu.

71-575 Gomez, civil

EP for child

The state interest escape me, really. Try come down to pejony, corruption, humiliation, litigation anti. But so what?

All other states manage to grapple with the police & survive.

This is pure double standard

∴ no EP at any cost

EP for mother

It's easier to identify the child, & concern.

State's concern

This may be harder for EP for child,

with EP for mother is used.

Parents stay in EP for child & avoid this issue.

DP

This is more clear for EP

Rem

~~Declar & hold the mandate?~~ no

Hard for us to create an the duty at a st. stat.

→ stay mandate

20-5N

12-1-72

Q

No appeal here bec cannot issue a record
As cert, see 215

D - Treat as a cert OK. - on long

S - "

S W. of

W -

M -

X 215 +

P mi X