

6-28-1971

06-28-1971 Per Curiam

Potter Stewart
US Supreme Court Justice

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/clayvus>



Part of the [Criminal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Stewart, P. Per Curiam, Clay v. United States, 403 U.S. 698 (1971). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Opinion is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clay v. United States, 403 U.S. 698 (1971) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISURed@ilstu.edu.

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D.C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 783.—OCTOBER TERM, 1970

Cassius Marsellus Clay, Jr., also known as Muhammad Ali, Petitioner, v. United States.	} On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[June 28, 1971]

PER CURIAM.

The petitioner was convicted for willful refusal to submit to induction into the Armed Forces. 50 U. S. C. App. § 462 (a). The judgment of conviction was affirmed by the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.¹ We granted certiorari, 400 U. S. 990, to consider whether the induction notice was invalid because grounded upon an erroneous denial of the petitioner's claim to be classified as a conscientious objector.

I

The petitioner's application for classification as a conscientious objector was turned down by his local draft board, and he took an administrative appeal. The State Appeal Board tentatively classified him I-A (eligible for unrestricted military service) and referred his file to the Department of Justice for an advisory recommendation, in accordance with then-applicable procedures. 50

¹ The original judgment of affirmance, 397 F. 2d 901, was set aside by this Court on a ground wholly unrelated to the issues now before us, *sub nom. Giordano v. United States*, 394 U. S. 310. Upon remand, the Court of Appeals again affirmed the conviction. 430 F. 2d 165.