Illinois State University ISU ReD: Research and eData

Illinois v. Gates 462 U.S. 213 (1983)

U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun

5-1-1983

05-31-1983 Correspondence from Blackmun to Rehnquist

Harry A. Blackmun Associate Justice of the US Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/illvGates



Part of the Criminology and Criminal Justice Commons

Recommended Citation

Blackmun, H. A. Correspondence from Blackmun to Rehnquist, Illinois v. Gates, 462 U.S. 213 (1983). Box 367, Harry A. Blackmun Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.

This Conference Note is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Supreme Court papers, Justice Blackmun at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Illinois v. Gates 462 U.S. 213 (1983) by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE HARRY A. BLACKMUN

May 31, 1983

Re: No. 81-430 - Illinois v. Gates

Dear Bill:

I wonder if you would consider the following in connection with your careful and detailed opinion for this case:

1. On page 6, the first full paragraph, next to the last sentence, where you refer to "an important consideration in fashioning a good faith exception" and, in the last sentence, where you refer to "consideration of the modification of the exclusionary rule," I would much prefer to say, respectively, "an important consideration in determining whether to fashion a good faith exception" and "consideration of whether to modify the exclusionary rule."

jour

2. On page 20, would you be willing to omit the "See" cite of the Model Code, or, in the alternative, add a cite to W. LaFave, Search and Seizure, §3.2 (e) (1978)? LaFave follows the Model Code but is more specific.

Garel

- 3. On page 22, would you eliminate the third quotation preceding the citation to Byron's dissent in Miranda? I agree about the first two, but I doubt if the third adds anything.
- 4. On page 30, the last two sentences of the first paragraph of n. 14 assume that Mrs. Gates originally intended to fly back to Chicago but revised her travel plans. I am not sure that there is any basis for the assumption that Sue in fact changed her plans. I would just as soon eliminate those two sentences.

If you can see your way clear to effect these minor changes, I shall be glad to join your opinion.

Sincerely,

Justice Rehnquist

cc: The Conference