

Summer 7-21-1971

Senate Meeting July 21, 1971

Academic Senate
Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting July 21, 1971" (1971). *Academic Senate Minutes*. 122.
<https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/122>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISURed@ilstu.edu.

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

July 21, 1971

Vol. II, No. 20

Contents

By-Law 3.11: Parking and Traffic Committee

Payroll Deductions

Patent Policy

University Handbook

Election of Academic Planning Committee - Faculty

Program in Social Justice (Corrections)

Committee Reports

Communications

Appendix:

By-Law 3.11: Parking and Traffic Committee

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

July 21, 1971

Vol. II, No. 20

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Morris called the meeting to order at 7:10 p. m. in 401 Stevenson Hall; 33 of the 50 members were present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- (II-225) The Senate passed a motion (Mr. Koch, Mr. Price) to approve the Minutes of the meeting of July 7.

BY-LAW 3.II: PARKING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE

The discussion indicated that many questions concerning parking involve (a) who sets policy, (b) to whom does such a committee report, (c) who runs the parking process, (d) who has authority over parking, and (e) does anyone know the answers. The Senate seemed to feel that this committee will be able to reach answers on these matters.

- (II-226) Before adopting the codification, the Senate considered two motions to amend: one, made by Mr. Yount and seconded by Mr. Black, was withdrawn. The second motion (Mr. Pierson, Mr. Barrett) would amend so that at least one student on the committee be a graduate student and at least one student on the Parking Appeals Board be a graduate student. The motion was defeated on a roll-call vote of Yes - 5; No - 25; Abstentions - 3.

- (II-227) After thirty minutes of discussion, Mr. Morris was asked to call the question. Because of objections, Mr. Morris called for a vote on closing off debate and calling the question. The Senate voted Yes - 29, No - 3, Abstentions - 1 to do so.

- (II-228) On a vote of Yes - 29; No - 3; Abstentions - 1, the Senate voted to approve a motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Mr. Cohen) to adopt the codification as edited. (A copy is appended to the Minutes.)

PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

Mr. Ichniowski reported for the Administrative Affairs Committee in presenting the policy. He said that Mr. Johnson was unable to attend the meeting and had asked that the topic be postponed until he could be present.

Mr. William Zeller was given the floor; he recounted the history of the request for a payroll deduction policy and said he had proposed this for several years, that last December a request had gone to the Presidency but had never been acknowledged. Mr. Zeller took the matter to the Executive Committee, which referred it to the Administrative Affairs Committee, which then wrote up the policy.

Arguments against postponing were that the policy must be adopted if it is to be implemented by September; that Mr. Johnson could have sent an assistant to discuss the matter; that parking fees and University Foundation contributions are deducted, so why not dues.

(II-229) A motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Mr. Rich) to postpone action until the August 4 meeting failed on a voice vote; Mr. Ichniowski and Mr. Pierson abstained.

In the discussion on adopting the policy, Mr. Hicklin reported that the executive committee of AAUP supported the proposal and added that Northern Illinois University has such a deduction plan in operation. Limitations on the number of groups using payroll deduction are necessary because of potential high costs and major changes involved in computer programming.

(II-230) For the second time in the meeting, Mr. Morris, in response to calls for the question, asked for a vote on closing debate and calling the question. The Senate voted Yes - 32; No - 1.

(II-231) The Senate approved a motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Mr. Kinney) to adopt the "Policy on Payroll Deductions for Professional Organizations" as presented. The vote was Yes - 29; No - 3; Abstentions - 1.

The policy is:

Payroll deductions for dues to professional organizations be initiated with the following restriction:

- 1) The membership of the organization eligible for payroll deductions must be open to all members of the faculty without regard to area of specialization.
- 2) The majority of the members of a specific organization whose membership includes at least 15% of the entire faculty may request withholding dues from their paychecks.
- 3) There must not be any unreasonable costs associated with the payroll deduction procedure.

PATENT POLICY

Mr. Ichniowski, for the Administrative Affairs Committee, introduced the proposed University Patent Policy. Mr. Hill, who is a member of the Research Grant committee of the Graduate Council and who served on the sub-committee which worked on the patent policy, explained its background and that the sub-committee had studied various policies, had obtained legal advice on such a policy, and had endorsed the present policy.

(II-232) Because of objections to calling the question, Mr. Morris, for the third time in the meeting, called for a vote on closing debate and calling the question. The Senate voted Yes - 30; No - 3. The Senate then voted Yes - 32; No - 1 to approve

(II-233) a motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Mr. Bickley) to approve the proposed policy and to forward it to the Board for approval or action.

(II-234) The Senate voted unanimously to pass a motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Mr. Price) to suspend the rules. The question of a policy on copyright was discussed. Mr. Hill said that the Graduate Council was aware of this point and, he understood, would be working on such a policy to cover video tapes, recordings, film, and computer programming. He reported that copyrights on books written by faculty members were generally omitted from such a policy.

(II-235) The Senate voted unanimously to pass a motion (Mr. Ichniowski, Miss Kelly) to request the Graduate Council to study the topic of copyrights and to formulate a policy governing materials produced with the use of University equipment, faculty assistance, and by personnel working on University time.

UNIVERSITY HANDBOOK

(II-236) After a ten-minute recess, the Senate turned to the subject of the University Handbook and unanimously passed a motion (Miss Kelly, Miss Chesebro) to adopt the proposed Chapters numbered 3, 8, 9 and 7.

These Chapters cover:

- 3 - ISU Constitution
- 7 - Student Activities and Organizations
- 8 - Student Records Policy
- 9 - Faculty Evaluation and Ethics Policy

No changes were made in any of the material contained in these sections; all had been previously adopted.

Members of the Senate were not so unanimous in their reactions to other proposed sections of the Handbook. It was pointed out that some sections are being re-written by either the Academic Affairs Committee or the Student Affairs Committee and that this constitutes changing policy. Mr. Comfort pointed out that the usual process is for a committee, when writing a policy change, to submit such change to the Senate for consideration and adoption or rejection before including it in a document. Because of the press of time, the committees in question will send material re policy change to individual Senators before the August 4 meeting, when the Handbook will be an action item.

Miss Kelly proposed that, in the future, a deadline should be set for policy changes; then, following that, a deadline would be set for the compilation of such material into a document such as the Handbook. The temper of the Senate was that policy changes should not be made during what should be essentially a compilation process.

ELECTION OF THE ACADEMIC PLANNING COMMITTEE - FACULTY

Mr. Hill reported that the Executive Committee had received the names of 42 nominees and that 6 of these either withdrew their names or were going on leave. The ExCom screened the remaining 36 nominees and had selected 8 nominees to present to the Senate. None of the faculty members on the present committee were nominated for the new committee, so of necessity it will be an all-new group.

Faculty members will serve staggered 3-year terms. Terms for this initial group are three 3-year, three 2-year, and two 1-year terms.

(II-237) By unanimous vote, the Senate approved a motion (Mr. Hubbard, Mr. Ichniowski) to close nominations and to elect the eight nominees. Those elected are:

Applied Science & Tech	Virginia Crafts	3-year
Arts and Sciences	Harold Born	3-year
	Kay Clifton	2-year
	Donald Davis	1-year
Business	John Meador	1-year
Education	Alton Bjork	3-year
	David Livers	2-year
Fine Arts	Calvin Pritner	2-year

PROGRAM IN SOCIAL JUSTICE (CORRECTIONS)

Copies of the proposed program were distributed earlier to Senators; the program will be an action item of a future meeting.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Executive Committee

Mr. Wedemeyer reported that the ExCom had screened nominees for the Academic Planning Committee, had received letters from Mr. Alan Peters and Mr. Vernon Pohlmann expressing their hope that faculty would have a voice in budget decisions, had received a letter from Mr. Murdock re surveillance cameras, and had received a report from Mr. Durham regarding a program of interaction between campus and town police forces and the student body, such program to begin this Fall.

Mr. Morris reported that, in response to letters from faculty re faculty involvement in budget decisions, he had sent a memorandum on behalf of the Senate for posting in Department offices; the memo also was sent to Deans and to other persons concerned with this matter.

Student Affairs Committee

The committee is studying the composition of SCERB and will discuss a communication from the textbook committee.

Faculty Affairs Committee

Mr. Comfort said his committee is working on criteria for the evaluation of administrators. Also, Mr. Morton Waimon and Mr. Clarence Moore are completing their terms on the Faculty Status Committee and the Faculty Affairs Committee is open to nominations for replacements.

Administrative Affairs Committee

The committee is studying the proposed policy on use of questionnaires and will meet with the Student Affairs Committee to study the recodification of the Publications Committee.

Academic Affairs Committee

A report will be made soon on the proposed Center for Multicultures; the group will nominate persons for the College of Education representative on the General Education Council.

Rules Committee

The College of Education By-Laws should be an action item at the August 4 meeting; the question of absentee voting is under consideration.

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Morris reported that Mr. Johnson has informed him that all surveillance cameras have been removed.

Mr. Hathway spoke regarding the budget and the possible changes in the Fall schedule; he urged that courses pertinent to students' programs be kept in the schedule and that, if any courses must be dropped, they be less essential ones.

Mr. Cohen said his Department chairman has raised the topic of having more bicycle racks on campus; Mr. Cohen pointed out that there has been a large increase in bicycle traffic and said the matter should be considered. Mr. Cohen said he was happy to report that the Board now is following a recommendation of the Joint Advisory Committee that, if cuts must be made, they should be made in all areas, not just in the faculty area.

Mr. Hicklin said the cuts in the budget will require a 10-man reduction in the staff of the Higher Board. Also, two task forces are to be on campus soon: one on Teacher Education and one on Laboratory Schools, but neither has been appointed yet. The task force on Laboratory Schools is to report to the Board in January. Mr. Hicklin hoped that the Advisory Committee would have some input regarding appointees to the task forces.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

The Senate held an Executive Session after the regular meeting.

The Senate adjourned at 9:45 p. m.

For the Academic Senate,

John S. Hill, Secretary

JSH:bw

NAME	ATTEN-DANCE	VOTE							VOICE VOTE		
		Motion # 226	Motion # 227	Motion # 228	Motion # 230	Motion # 231	Motion # 232	Motion # 233	Motion No.	Y	N
Barrett	P	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	225	X	
Bickley	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	229		X
Black	P	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	234	X	
Boaz	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	235	X	
Browning	P	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	236	X	
Chesebro	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	237	X	
Cohen	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Comfort	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Duffield											
Ebel	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Edwards	P	N	N	Y	Y	P	Y	Y			
Ferrell											
Fitch											
Fuehrer											
Grossnickle											
Hahn											
Hakala											
Hathway	P	N	P	Y	Y	N	Y	Y			
Hicklin	P	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Hill	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Hubbard	P *	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Ichniowski	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Kaferly											
Kagy											
Kelly	P	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y			
Kincaid	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Kinney	P	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Koch	P *	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Kuhn											
Madore											
Mann											
Mees	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Morris	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Pierson	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N			
Price	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Raymond											
Rich	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Runge	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Steffen	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Stein	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Theroux	P	N	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Wedemeyer	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Williams	P	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Woods	P *	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y			
Yount	P	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Murdock	P	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Jolnson											
Hulet											
Bond											
Geigle											

*left early

Y= Yes N= No P= Present

Parking and Traffic Committee

Membership (10) Three faculty members, three civil service staff members, three students, and a non-voting administrative representative. The committee will elect its own chairman.

Functions:

1. To study the local parking and traffic conditions and to review research relevant to parking problems.
2. To participate in the planning of future parking and traffic programs which would include space, types of space, and fees, and to make recommendations pertaining to parking and traffic policy.
3. To administer the operation of the Parking Appeals Board.

Disposition of Studies and Recommendations: From the Committee to the Coordinator of Parking Services, to the Vice President for Administrative Services and the Vice President for Student Services, to the Academic Senate, to the President.

Parking Appeals Board (for student, staff and faculty appeals)

Membership (11) Includes a non-voting administrative representative
Faculty (4 -- includes Chairman) one appointed by the President
Civil Service (3) one appointed by the President
Students (3) one appointed by the President
Faculty and Civil Service will serve staggered 3-year terms, student members, 1-year terms.

The Chairman of the Parking Committee will select two faculty and two civil service representatives from the Parking Committee to serve. The S.C.E.R.B. will provide for two student representatives. The appeals hearings will be called by the Chairman, with only two of the three representatives from each area present for hearings. Therefore, an appeals hearing will consist of 7 people, all on a rotation basis except the Chairman. The decision of the Appeals Board will be the final judgement of the violation.

Functions:

Any member of the University Community who is charged with a violation of the University's Parking and Traffic Regulations may have his case heard by the Parking Appeals Board.

Background:

1. These functions were developed by the Parking and Traffic Committee.
2. S.C.E.R.B. has been contacted so that the Appeal Procedures as listed are now consistent.

