

Illinois State University

ISU ReD: Research and eData

Academic Senate Minutes

Academic Senate

Spring 3-14-1973

Senate Meeting March 14, 1973

Academic Senate
Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting March 14, 1973" (1973). *Academic Senate Minutes*. 157.
<https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/157>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

ACADEMIC SENATE

Meeting No, 10
Stevenson 141
4:00 p. m. to 5:15 p. m.

March 14, 1973

Members Present: Miss Bickel, Ms. Chesebro, Mr. Edwards, Mr. Hathway,
Mr. Hicklin, Mr. Pierce, Mr. Schermer, Mr. Steffen,
Mr. Sutherland, Mr. Rives (for the Administration)
Member Absent: Mr. Woods
Visitors: Ms. Boyer, Ms. Webb (Vidette)

The agenda for the special meeting of the Academic Senate on March 21 was discussed. The consensus of the Committee was to place the grading report on the agenda as an action item, open for motions and amendments. If the Academic Affairs Committee does not feel prepared to bring this item to the Senate, the special meeting would be cancelled.

The need for an orientation session for the new Senate between the election and the first meeting was discussed. Saturday, April 7, was mentioned as a possible date for this meeting. An ad hoc committee will be chosen to plan this orientation session. The Committee voted to empower the Chairman to name a committee composed of other than Executive Committee members.

Mr. Sutherland requested that the procedures for selecting the Vice President for Finance be an action item at the March 21 meeting. Mr. Edwards explained that since there were no major alterations in the two policies, he and Mr. Rich, the Chairman of the Administrative Affairs Committee, had decided that it was not necessary to include it as an action item. Mr. Sutherland disagreed and requested that it be an action item. The need for speed in approving the procedures led Mr. Edwards to suggest adding it to the agenda for the March 14 meeting.

Mike Waters has requested that the procedures for choosing a new editor for the Vidette be changed so that only the student members of the Publications Committee would pick. The problem was referred to Mr. Hathway of the Rules Committee. He stated that if the Publications Committee recodifies in time, there would be no problem.

Mr. Rives discussed the dance program. A problem had arisen as to what department affiliation the program should have. A decision was made to keep the dance program in the Health, Physical Education, and Recreation Department. At the same time an attempt would be made to redefine the program by developing the fine arts aspect. After this redefinition the department affiliation might be changed.

Mr. Rives also reported on the request of a department to drop the B. S. in Ed. degree. He is asking for recommendations to be sent to the University Curriculum Committee. These recommendations may eventually come to the Senate.

The meeting adjourned at 5:15.

SHUMAN STANLEY
COLLEGE ARTS AND SCIENCES

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

March 14, 1973

Vol. IV, No. 10

Contents

Approval of Minutes

Seating of Senator

Administrator's Remarks

Proposal for a Department of Curriculum and Instruction

Procedures for Screening and Selection of Vice President for Finance

By-Law on Absenteeism

Committee Reports

Communications

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University Community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:10 p. m. in Stevenson 401. Roll call was taken, and a quorum was declared.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

IV, 67

A motion (Mr. Kagy, Mr. Brundege) to approve the minutes as distributed was approved.

SEATING OF SENATOR

Mr. Howie Adelman was seated as a senator.

ADMINISTRATOR'S REMARKS

Dean Budig invited members of the Senate to present names of faculty members for a committee for the search and selection of a Vice President of Finance.

ACTION ITEMS

PROPOSAL FOR A DEPARTMENT OF CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

IV, 68

The Chairman of Academic Affairs Committee, Mr. Mohr, reported the proposal out. Mr. Mohr discussed the chronology of the development of this proposal and detailed the procedures followed in the discussions and hearings on the merger, including a list of all the people who had appeared for and against the proposal. The Academic Affairs Committee concluded that the merger committee had considered and included the input of the faculty in general. Therefore, the Academic Affairs Committee, after weighing all the factors, submitted the following motion (Mr. Mohr, Mr. McGuckin):

It is moved that the Senate endorse the policy of merging the Departments of Education and Elementary Education outlined in the Proposal for a Department of Curriculum and Instruction and the accompanying statement entitled "Supplementary Information to the Proposal for a Department of Curriculum and Instruction." Implementation of this policy will require a complex process of new academic programming and realigning several existing academic options serving large numbers of students currently under the leadership of relatively distinct and large faculty groupings under one administrative umbrella. Senate approval of the merger is, therefore, subject to the understanding that particular care be exercised in soliciting faculty and student participation in all aspects of the merger process including the selection of a chairman and determination of the new governing structure.

Mr. William Frinsko addressed the Senate on the proposal. Mr. Frinsko contended that sufficient rationale had not been presented and that a clear picture of consequences was not available from those persons who have proposed merger. Mr. Frinsko reiterated the procedures and input that had been made to the committee and requested that the Academic Senate hold up the proposal until faculty views have been represented and considered. He outlined what he considered some of the pitfalls of the procedure followed in the consideration of this merger request. Mr. Frinsko insisted that administration exists to facilitate instruction, and he questioned whether or not the merger facilitated instruction. Mr. Frinsko said that since the questions raised in his position paper had not been answered by the administration, he could not accept the proposal for the department merger. Mr. Frinsko brought up the problem of administrative accountability. He stated that he thought Dean Budig, President Berlo, and Dean Hermanowicz had acted in good faith in requesting consideration of the merger. Mr. Frinsko stressed the importance of maintaining departmental identity for students.

IV, 69

A substitute motion (Mr. Madore, Miss Bickel) was introduced:

Recommend the merger of the administrative functions and services of the departments of Education and Elementary Education following the detailed study of the curricular and programmatic implications of the merger.

Further recommend the appointment of an ad hoc committee representing administration, both student constituencies and faculty representation from each department to prepare guidelines for implementation of the merger. The proposed guidelines should:

1. Describe the procedures and time table for transition from the current status to a fully merged operational unit.
2. Present alternative structures which offer assurances of continuity for the major programs currently administered by the separate departments.
3. Detail the administrative structure which is to provide the control and management of the programs in the merged unit.
4. Report the steps in the selection process for an administrative leader as well as the composition of the search committee.
5. Describe the procedures to be employed for determining the organizational structure; the policy powers, the personnel policies; . . . for the new department.

Implementation of this recommendation shall follow the endorsement of the guidelines statement by each department and the constituencies which they represent.

Mr. Madore explained the rationale for the substitute motion. Several questions were raised about the relationship between Dr. Frinsko's remarks and the substitute motion. It was pointed out that the motion on the floor from the Academic Affairs Committee does contain the proviso that particular care would be exercised in soliciting faculty and student participation in all aspects of the merger process. Dean Budig spoke to the Senate and said he would assure Mr. Madore and the Senate that he would consider the concerns offered in the substitute motion. He stated that he hoped we would pass the original motion. Dean Budig said he hoped we could assume some good faith in the procedures for merger. The question was raised whether or not the last paragraph of the substitute motion constituted a veto over the merger proposal. Some of the external forces which caused the administration and others to consider the merger were delineated. Mr. Mohr stated that he felt that the substitute motion confused the issue and he felt the Academic Affairs Committee had listened to and considered the criticisms that were raised. Dean Hermanowicz summarized some of the concerns that had been given consideration during the discussion of the merger. The question was raised about the process that would be followed to affect the merger. Dean Hermanowicz outlined some of the problems that would have to be solved as they activated the new department. Mr. Tarrant raised the question of whether or not women, black, and students had been involved in the discussion of the merger. Mr. Mohr stated that the Chairman of the Elementary Education Student Board had been invited to testify. The Academic Affairs Committee stated that they did not feel like recomposing the merger committee. Dean Hermanowicz indicated the present proposal for a search committee for the department head will call for a woman as chairman. The Chairman called the Senate's attention to the last paragraph of the substitute motion which would require the endorsement of the various constituencies involved. The motion to substitute failed on a vote of 2 - yes; 32 - no; 3 - abstentions; 1 - Present.

Discussion then returned to the main motion. A question was raised as to whether or not the sense of the substitute motion which just lost could not be included in the main motion. Dean Hermanowicz again attempted to reassure the Senate that the substitute motion would be incorporated in the procedures for the merger. Dean Hermanowicz stated that the procedure for selection of department heads in the College of Education had been approved and would be implemented and altered as necessary to search for a department head. These procedures have been previously approved by the Senate. The motion to establish a Department of Curriculum and Instruction carried on a vote of 31 - yes; 4 - no; 1 - abstention; 2 - Present.

PROCEDURES FOR SCREENING AND SELECTION OF VICE PRESIDENT FOR FINANCE

The Chairman raised the possibility of placing on the agenda as an action item the guidelines for the selection of the replacement for Vice President for Financial Affairs. A 2/3rds majority is required to put it on as an action item since it is past the promulgation stage. A motion (Mr. Arnold, Ms. Workman)

to bring this to action item stage passed unanimously.

- IV, 71 Mr. Rich, Chairman of the Administrative Affairs Committee, reported out the proposal. A motion (Mr. Rich, Mr. Arnold) to approve the procedures with the substitution of item #5 from the old procedures for item #5 in the new procedures was made. Secretary of the University, Mr. Charles Morris, stated that the substitution which Mr. Rich had made would correct some impressions which were never intended. Mr. Morris said that the new policies were intended to be in the same spirit as the old policy. The question arose over whether or not this was a radical change in the procedures. Mr. Hathway objected to the new procedures referring to the various governance units. The question was raised why the Academic Senate had been systematically excluded from the consideration of the selection of the Vice President. A motion (Mr. Merker, Mr. Snavely) to amend section 2, part c to read "c. Two student members to be elected by the Student Government Board, or its functional equivalent" was made. Secretary of the University Morris reiterated that there was no intent to redraft the document in any other spirit except minor changes. The method of election of the student members was the present operational method of the Senate; a change in the governance units was anticipating what might be developments in the future. After a discussion of the amendment, it passed 18 yes; 14 no on a show of hands. A motion (Mr. Sutherland, Mr. Hathway) was made to amend Mr. Rich's motion by adding the following statement: "with the understanding that this procedure will be in force only for the filling of the current vacancy, in no way to set a precedent; and that the entire question be submitted to the Committee on Constitutional and Governance Review for inclusion in the new governance document." After a discussion on this motion, it carried by a voice vote. It was pointed out that the way the procedures are written the President could select a person outside of the recommendation of the screening committee; otherwise in paragraph 4 the screening committee would not be asked to react in writing to the selection recommended to the screening committee. A recess was called for at 8:50. After the recess Mr. Rich reported that a compromise had been worked out in which old paragraph #4 with a minor editorial change -- line 8, change selection to screening -- would be substituted for paragraph #4 in the new document. A motion (Mr. Rich, Mr. Fuehrer) to substitute old paragraph #4 for new paragraph 4 passed unanimously. The original motion passed unanimously.
- IV, 72
- IV, 73
- IV, 74

INFORMATION ITEM

BY-LAW ON ABSENTEEISM

Mr. Hathway, Chairman of the Rules Committee, presented a proposed amendment to the Senate By-Laws on vacancies and absentees. A discussion took place on the meaning of the proposed by-law.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Executive Committee - Mr. Pierce reported for the Executive Committee. See appendix.

IV, 75

Mr. Hicklin presented a report on the Senate calendar. A motion (Mr. Hicklin, Mr. Hubbard) to strike those items agreed upon by the Senate passed.

Academic Affairs Committee - Mr. Mohr reported that they had invited Dr. Gamsky of University Counseling Service to answer some questions that had been posed to the Committee about what is happening to student advisement. The Committee met with him for about an hour; Dr. Gamsky gave an explanation of the changes that have occurred in academic advisement.

Mr. Mohr distributed a report by Mr. Baker, a member of the Senate, with some proposals on the academic grading plan and reported that the Committee was meeting after the Senate meeting tonight to discuss the Baker report.

Faculty Affairs Committee - Mr. Madore reported that the Faculty Affairs Committee would welcome any recommendations on the recognition of outstanding faculty members presently on campus as requested by the President.

COMMUNICATIONS

The Chairman reported that the Executive Committee had empowered him to appoint an ad hoc committee for orientation of the new senators. Senator Pierce and the Chairman recommended the following persons for the committee: Miss Walker, Mr. Snavelly, Ms. Workman, Mr. Roderick, with Mr. Roderick to serve as chairman.

The Chairman announced that the affirmative action officer from Sears, Roebuck will be on campus on Tuesday, March 27. He will be speaking at an open meeting Tuesday evening at 7:30 in Stevenson 401 to the topic: what one business is doing in the broad area of equal opportunity and specifically what they are doing regarding sex biases.

A Senate meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 21, at 7:00 p. m. provided that the Academic Affairs Committee is ready with the grading report.

The Chairman encouraged all chairmen of Senate committees to turn in their minutes to the Senate Office as soon as possible after their meetings.

IV, 76

A motion (Mr. Arnold, Mr. Price) to adjourn passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 9:25.

For the Academic Senate,

Charles R. Hicklin, Secretary

NAME	ATTEN- DANCE	VOTE							VOICE VOTE		
		Motion # 68	Motion # 69	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion No.	yes	no
Adelman	P	Y	N						67	X	
Amster	P	Y	N						70	X	
Arnold	P	Y	N						71	X	
Baker	P *								72	X	
Bickel	P	Abs.	Abs.						73	X	
Brundege	P	Y	N						74	X	
Chesebro	P	Y	N						75	X	
Chisesi	A								76	X	
Crew	P	N	Abs.								
Edwards	P	Y	N								
Fuehrer	P	Y	N								
Hathway	P	N	N								
Hicklin	P	Y	N								
Hill	P	Y	N								
Hubbard	P	N	N								
Kagy	P	P	Y								
Karnes	P										
Koch	A										
Madore	P	N	Y								
McGuckin	P	Y	N								
Merker	P	Y	N								
ohr	P	Y	N								
Pierce	P	Y	N								
Plummer	P	P	P								
Price	P	Y	N								
Rennels	P	Y	N								
Reynolds	A										
Rich	P	Y	N								
Richards	A										
Roderick	P	Y	N								
Snavely	P	Y	N								
Schermer	P	Y	N								
Sonderman	P	Y	Abs.								
Spencer	P	Y	N								
Stein	P	Y	N								
Sullivan	A										
Sutherland	P	Y	N								
Tarrant	P	Y	N								
Vowell	P	Y	N								
Walker	P	Y	N								
Williams	P	Y	N								
Woods	P	Y	N								
Workman	P	Y	N								
Young	A										
Steffen	P	Y	N								
Budig	P	Y	N								
Berlo	A										
Hulet	A										
Johnson	A										

*Arrived late

Y - yes; N - no; Abs. - abstain; P - present