Illinois State University

ISU ReD: Research and eData

Academic Senate Minutes

Academic Senate

Spring 4-10-1974

Senate Meeting April 10, 1974

Academic Senate Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes

Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting April 10, 1974" (1974). *Academic Senate Minutes*. 213. https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/213

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

SHUMAN STANLEY COLLEGE ARTS AND SCIENCES 2141

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

April 10, 1974

Volume V, No. II

Contents

Call to Order

Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Introduction of Distinguished Visitor

Resignation of Senator

Resignation of Member from Faculty Status Committee

Remarks of the Student Association President

Recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee on APT-FSC Guidelines

Student Appointments to the External Standing Committees of the Senate

Communications

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

Academic Senate Minutes

April 10, 1974

Volume V, No. II

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Sutherland at 7:04 p.m. in Stevenson 401.

ROLL CALL

The Secretary called the roll. A quorum was declared to be present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

An error was noted in the listing of the faculty results of the February 27 election. Under the College of Applied Science & Technology, the second entry should read "William Kauth." Mr. Woods noted that on page 58 in the discussion of the ruling of Vice Chairperson Merker he had been supporting the decision of Mr. Merker and wished to have his position on the issue clarified. A motion (Mr. Madore, Mr. Duty) to approve the minutes as corrected was approved.

INTRODUCTION OF DISTINGUISHED VISITOR

The Chairperson asked Mr. Reitan to introduce a distinguished guest from Brighton College, England, Mr. David Leach. Mr. Reitan explained that Mr. Leach was here on an exchange program with Brighton College. He stated that last year he was privileged to be at Brighton and that two members from Brighton are visiting our campus this year. Mr. Reitan stated that he hoped the students and faculty would get acquainted with these exchange faculty.

RESIGNATION OF SENATOR

The Chairperson read a letter of resignation from Donald Kachur. A motion (Mr. Madore, Miss Rex) to accept Mr. Kachur's resignation with regret was approved. The Chairperson announced that the College of Education had been instructed to initiate a special election to replace Mr. Kachur. He stated that no member of the Department of Curriculum and Instruction would be eligible since two members of that department are currently serving on the Senate.

RESIGNATION OF MEMBER FROM FACULTY STATUS COMMITTEE

The Chairperson read a letter of resignation from Howard Hetzel from the Faculty Status Committee. The Chairperson reported that the ExComm had moved to accept the resignation

V, 87

1, 86

of Mr. Hetzel and not to fill the vacancy at this particular time because of the short tenure of such a vacancy. The Chairperson announced that the full Senate had the opportunity to challenge such a ruling if there is objection. Mr. Madore explained that the replacement for Mr. Hetzel would only be serving until May 15 in any case. The Chairperson asked for a motion to ratify the action of the ExComm. A motion (Mr. Young, Mr. Smith) to ratify the action of the ExComm in accepting the resignation with regret and leaving the vacancy on the Committee until the appointment of new members was approved.

ADMINISTRATORS' REMARKS

President Budig had no administrative remarks. Dean Helgeson had no administrative remarks.

REMARKS OF THE STUDENT ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT

Mr. Arnold announced that he had received a letter from Mr. Sutherland saying that the minutes of the Academic Senate would be sent to all Assembly members. Mr. Arnold announced that minutes of the Student Association Assembly would be distributed to all members of the Academic Senate. Mr. Arnold stated some concern over the camera which was to be placed on top of the West Campus towers and he wished that the problem of the surveillance cameras on the West Campus be referred to the appropriate committee, either the Student Association Assembly or the Student Affairs Committee of the Academic Senate The Chairperson asked Mr. Arnold if a formal communication would be forthcoming stating his views on this matter. Mr. Arnold answered in the affirmative.

ACTION ITEMS

1. Recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee on the APT-FSC Guidelines

The Chairperson read the recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee:

The Faculty Affairs Committee recommends that no substantive changes be made in the existing APT-FSC procedures for the 1974-75 year. This is not to preclude making of minor changes which may be suggested from our experience this year. The Committee felt reassured that it was the intent of University administration and the Faculty Status Committee to as far as possible maintain as a priority efforts to sustain salary parity with inflationary increases.

V, 89

A motion (Mr. Madore, Mr. Roderick) to accept this recommendation was made. Mr. Tarrant commended the FSC for its work. Mr. Tarrant stated that it is possible for a department to interpret the guidelines in a very narrow manner. He proposed an amendment which had previously been submitted to the Senate in writing: It is imperative that each department devote faculty meetings to the discussion and interpretation of the F.S.C. guidelines and mutually spell out in clearly defined terms all the methods to be used for rating and for the distribution of points in the various categories.

A motion (Mr. Tarrant, Mr. Madore) to accept the amendment was made. Mr. Madore stated that the problem was in enforcement and that the FSC would have a difficult time enforcing the specifics of this amendment. Mr. Hicklin stated that he felt that the FSC could enforce this by a simple manner of a cover letter stating when and how the guidelines were discussed as the departmental report came to the FSC. Mr. Champagne asked which departments had been violating the guidelines and how they have been violating it. Ms. Chesebro stated that she did not think that this was proper to discuss. Mr. Champagne stated that he did not know how the Senate could vote on such a general amendment if in fact we do not know who has been violating it. Mr. Hicklin raised the question as to what was the intent as to the disposition of this amendment. The Chairperson stated that this amendment would be attached to the Recommendation to implement the FSC Guidelines for next year and that it would not be attached to the Guidelines themselves. After a voice vote when objections were stated, Mr. Champagne asked for a roll call vote. The amendment was approved, 27 yes, 6 no, 8 abstentions.

Mr. White requested that Ms. Jones be invited to the table to speak about FSC Guidelines. Mr. White requested that Ms. Jones state how the amendment would be enforced by FSC. Ms. Jones outlined the process that FSC was already following. She stated that the FSC presently calls for plans for each department to be submitted; if any is inadequate, it is sent back to the department for revision. She asked for suggestions as to what else FSC could do. It was suggested again that a letter accompany the FSC report, certifying that the department had discussed the matters with the faculty and had promulgated the guidelines for the department. Ms. Jones stated that if this were done there would be no end to the questions that the department head would be asked to certify. The motion to approve the recommendation of the Faculty Affairs Committee was approved, 38 yes, 0 no, 5 abstentions.

2. Student Appointments to the External Standing Committees of the Academic Senate

A motion (Mr. Taylor, Mr. Kolasa) to accept the appointments to the external standing committees was made. A question was raised if students could serve on more than one committee. The Chairperson stated that there apparently is no restriction on such an event, although there is a stipulation against faculty serving on more than one committee. The motion to approve the appointments to the external committees was approved unanimously. See Appendix for list of appointments.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Executive Committee – Vice Chairperson Kolasa reported for the Executive Committee. Mr. Kolasa noted a correction in the ExComm minutes as to the meeting time of the ExComm. The ExComm will be meeting on the first and third Wednesdays at 5:00 p.m.

V, 90

V, 91

Mr. Kolasa highlighted the discussion of the ExComm on the request submitted to it by Ms. Paula Conroy that the Academic Senate support the Equal Rights Amendment. Such action appears to be in violation of the Statement on the Politicization of the University (approved by the Academic Senate, March 1, 1972).

Mr. Kolasa stated that the ExComm had endorsed the principle of encouraging faculty members to allow Student Association Assembly members and Senators to identify themselves in academic classes so that faculty and student input to these various bodies would be increased. (See Appendix for ExComm Minutes)

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Taylor stated that various students had expressed concerns to him about the Placement Service and that he was going to ask that an ad hoc committee be formed to study the status, financing, etc. of the Placement Service. Mr. Madore informed Mr. Taylor that the Board of Regents staff was studying the placement services of the regency universities. Mr. Taylor stated that he had been in touch with the Board of Regents staff and that he had been told that their study did not deal with the problems with which he was concerned. The Chairperson asked Mr. Taylor if the proposal for the creation of an ad hoc committee was going to be introduced to a standing committee. Mr. Hicklin reminded the Senate that the normal procedures for considering this would be to forward the letter to the ExComm, which would then forward the proposal to a standing committee. Mr. Taylor suggested that this procedure would not allow for as much input, as an ad hoc committee would having diverse membership.

Ms. Stone raised a question about Mr. Cetwinski's role in the screening of the Union Board members. She questioned if the procedures had been followed since the members of the Student Affairs Committee had not been given the opportunity to select Mr. Cetwinski. Ms. Stone asked that the Rules Committee investigate the situation, since it is important to maintain the credibility of both the Union Board and the Senate. A motion (Mr. Taylor, Mr. Koehl) to suspend the rules to consider Miss Stone's request failed. Mr. Hicklin explained his vote against the suspension of the rules as an attempt to maintain the deliberative nature of the Senate and reminded the Senate that a special meeting could be called within twenty-four hours to discuss the matter. The Chairperson stated that we should still have a discussion of the matter even though the suspension of the rules failed. In answer to the question as to how Mr. Cetwinski could become a member of the screening committee for the Union Board when he wasn't at that time a member of the Senate or the Student Affairs Committee, the Chairperson explained that the standing committees remained in power until replaced by the next election. Ms. Leonhard reported a conversation she had with Mr. Cetwinski concerning getting a member of the Student Affairs Committee on the screening committee. Mr. Hicklin stated that he was willing to call a special meeting within twenty-four hours if this was deemed to be a crisis. A motion (Mr. Chamberlain, Mr. Gavin) to suspend the rules to consider a motion asking the Union Board to delay the election of officers until the legality of the screening

V, 92

V, 93

procedures was determined was approved unanimously. A motion (Mr. Chamberlain, Mr. Taylor) to delay the election for two weeks was approved. Mr. Rogers asked that his negative vote be recorded. He raised a question as to whether the Union Board was legally constituted since members had not been approved by the Senate yet.

The Chairperson read a communication from the Committee on Constitutional and Governance Review asking for guidance. The Chairperson outlined the dilemma in which the CCGR find themselves and suggested the possibility of a special Senate meeting for the discussion of the Report. It was recommended by members of the Senate that the Chairperson proceed to contact members of the CCGR to invite them to come to the Senate. It was suggested by Mr. Taylor that this information session with the CCGR be expanded to include the entire University community. Mr. Madore recommended a combination of the two formats. (See Appendix for letter.)

The Chairperson announced the meeting places of the standing committees and outlined the agenda of these standing committee meetings.

Mr. Gordon raised a question about the status of the Union Board in light of Mr. Rogers' question about Senate approval of the appointments. The question was referred to the Rules Committee.

Mr. Gordon asked for a clarification between a call for the question and a moving of the previous question. The parliamentarian interpreted the rules of the Senate on this matter.

Chairperson Sutherland announced that he had appointed Mr. Rives as parliamentarian for the remainder of this school year and perhaps the summer. He added that Mr. Rives, though willing to serve, had stated that he would prefer a faculty member be parliamentarian rather than an administrator. The Chairperson said he had contacted faculty members, but that none had wished to serve and a faculty member who teaches a course in parliamentary procedure was insistent that a competent and qualified student should be parliamentarian for the Academic Senate. The matter will be addressed again in the fall.

A motion (Mr. Taylor, Mr. Sims) to adjourn was passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned into meetings of the standing committees of the Senate at 8:31 p.m.

For the Academic Senate,

Charles R. Hicklin, Secretary

CRHpl

V, 95

Date: April 10, 1974 Volume No: V Page: 68

| | ſ | 1 | e-ert "göllin, geschöd virbleringe-brigen förs | ** ***************************** | VOTE | ndilla operation de contra referenciativa | | | VOICE | VOT | ΓЕ |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----|
| NAME | ATTEN- DANCE | Motion # 89 | Motion # 90 | Motion # | Motion # | Motion # | Motion # | Motion # | Motion No. | ves | |
| Allred | Р | Yes | | | | | | | 86 | ĺх | Γ |
| Amster | A | | | | | | | | 87 | X | |
| Anderson | Р | Yes | No | | | | | | 88 | X | 1 |
| Baska | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | 89 | 1 | |
| Berlanga | P* | Abst. | Abst. | | | | | | 90 | 1 | |
| Chamberlain | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | 91 | X | |
| Champagne | P | Abst. | No | | | | | | 92 | pr- | LX_ |
| Chesebro | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | 93 | X | +^- |
| Duty | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | 94 | X | |
| Ficek | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | 95 | X | |
| Frankland | P | Yes | Yes | <u> </u> | | | | | 175 | <u> </u> | |
| Gavin | P | Yes | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| Gordon | P | Abst. | No | | | | | | | | |
| Henry | P | Yes | No | | | | | | | | |
| Hicklin | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Hickrod | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Johnson | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Kauth | | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Koehl | P | Yes | Contraction of the state of the second state o | | | | | | | | |
| | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Kolasa | P | Tes | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| Liberta | P* | | | | | | | | | | |
| Madore | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | - |
| Mead | P* | | | ļ | | | | | | | |
| Parr | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Plantan | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Potter | Р | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Quane | Р | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Reitan | P | Yes | No | | | | | | | | |
| Rennels | A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rex | Р | Abst. | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| Roderick | Р | Yes | Yes | and and the state of the second state of the s | | | | | | | |
| Rogers | P* | | | | | | 100 | | | | |
| Sims | Р | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Smith | Р | Yes | Yes | anne agus agus agus ag Parige , rugaga ata a | | | | | | | |
| Steinbach | Р | Yes | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| Stone | P | Yes | Abst. | | | | | | | | - |
| Sutherland | Р | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Tarrant | Р | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Taylor | P | Abst. | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| Wallace | Р | Yes | Abst. | | | | | | | | |
| White | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Woods | P | Yes | No | | | | | | | | |
| Workman | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Young | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Arnold | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Budig | Р | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | -) |
| Helgeson | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| Gamsky | A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morris | P | Yes | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

STUDENT APPOINTMENTS TO EXTERNAL STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE SENATE

S.C.E.R.B. (two vacancies - two names submitted for each vacancy)

- I. Gary Goetz
- 2. Gail Heltsley
- 3. Marvin McNiel
- 4. Lynn Repetsky

Academic Standards Committee

ء 4

John Freiburg Gail Holmburg Richard Mink Steve Souers Debbie Wood

Patricia Gubbe-Alternate

Academic Planning Committee

Robert Deck Jimmy Scott

Curriculum Committee

Larry Gersch Jimmy Scott

Honors Council

Joann Pechan

SCERB Off Campus Hearing Panel

Thomas Englund Ann Marie Mancini Sandy Tibbetts Nancy Truesdale Pat McCoid