

Illinois State University

## ISU ReD: Research and eData

---

Academic Senate Minutes

Academic Senate

---

Fall 8-28-1974

### Senate Meeting August 28, 1974

Academic Senate  
*Illinois State University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting August 28, 1974" (1974). *Academic Senate Minutes*. 237.  
<https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/237>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact [ISUReD@ilstu.edu](mailto:ISUReD@ilstu.edu).

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

August 28, 1974

Volume VI, No. 1

Contents

Call to Order

Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Administrative Remarks

Remarks of the Student Association President

SCERB Policy Revisions

FAC Proposal for a Referee Body

Student Affairs Committee for the Enlargement  
of the Entertainment Committee

Codification of Advisory Committee on Affirmative  
Action for Minorities

Committee Reports

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University Community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

Academic Senate Minutes

August 28, 1974

Volume VI, No. 1

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m. in Stevenson 401 by Chairperson Sutherland.

ROLL CALL

The roll was called and a quorum was present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- VI, 1 A motion was made (Mr. Madore, Mr. Liberta) to approve the minutes as distributed. The motion was approved unanimously by a voice vote.
- VI, 2 A motion was made (Mr. Madore, Mr. Roderick) to suspend the rules to introduce the new Civil Service Secretary and announce the resignation of Peg Leonhard. An expression of appreciation to Peg was read by the Chairperson as follows:

The Academic Senate of Illinois State University wishes to express its very deep appreciation to Peg Leonhard for her labors on its behalf as Civil Service Secretary. She has served with distinction in a difficult job through an important period in the Senate's history, giving the body not only clerical support but--through her knowledge of the University's workings and the Senate's ongoing functions and activities--operational and moral support as well. She has been a pleasure to work with and has performed her duties with intelligence, imagination, and wit. The Academic Senate thanks her and wishes her well as she undertakes her new duties in the College of Fine Arts.

The Chairperson then introduced Ms. Virginia Sayles, a visitor from Sangamon State University, a former member of the Board of Regents and a present member of the Joint University Advisory Committee. The Chairperson introduced Ms. Anita Chambers as Ms. Leonhard's replacement.

An "In Memoriam" was read by Chairperson Sutherland for William Wantling, member of the English Department and published poet, as follows:

This is in memory of Bill Wantling, friend  
of the grass, the trees, the air, friend of  
the earth, friend of all living things

Bill Wantling, friend of seals, of cats, of  
dolphins, of dogs, friend of all animals,  
friend of some human beings

Bill Wantling, friend of blacks, of poets, of students, friend of all prisoners, friend of all the oppressed

Bill Wantling, friend of life itself, friend in spite of everything.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE REMARKS

The Chairperson asked if there were any administrative remarks to be made. President Budig and Dean Helgeson, meeting with members of the Board of Regents, were not present at this time. No remarks were made.

#### REMARKS OF THE STUDENT ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT

Mr. Arnold read a resolution to the Academic Senate which he had previously distributed concerning the site for the new Amtrak Station, as follows:

WHEREAS, The McLean County Association of Commerce and Industry and its Amtrak Committee have recommended that every effort be put forth to develop the Site Three area for the new Amtrak Station; and,

WHEREAS, the Site Three is the only location which meets all of the criteria developed by the McLean County Regional Planning Commission and is the most centrally located site, and is accessible to both communities and both student populations; and,

WHEREAS, the present level of train service is inadequate for the needs of students; and, more than one-half of the train ridership is constituted by students; and,

WHEREAS, the Illinois State Student Association and its Assembly concurs with the Association of Commerce and Industry and its Amtrak Committee that Site Three best serves the combined needs of Bloomington-Normal, Illinois State University, and Illinois Wesleyan University communities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Academic Senate, Illinois State University; concurs with the recommendation of the Association of Commerce and Industry and its Amtrak Committee that Site Three be used for the location of the Amtrak Station.

Mr. Arnold gave an historical background on the situation on the selection of a new site for the Amtrak Station. Mr. Arnold reported that the Department of Transportation was working on the decision since there was disagreement between the Town of Normal and Bloomington. Mr. Arnold gave certain statistics on the availability of Amtrak riders which showed that the majority of

potential riders are Illinois State University Students. Mr. Arnold made it clear that Site Three, which he favored, was the site behind the Steak N' Shake in Normal. Mr. Arnold stated that at least 5,000 students use the Amtrak and that at least 98% of the students feel that the present site is not convenient to them. Mr. Arnold gave further remarks to support the feasibility of a Site Three behind the Steak N' Shake which would include a bus depot as well as an Amtrak Station. It would serve a wide variety of riders as well as the people from surrounding towns such as Lincoln, Pontiac, etc.

VI, 3 A motion (Mr. Arnold, Mr. Tarrant) to suspend the rules for the purpose of passing the resolution was carried unanimously.

VI, 4 A motion (Mr. Arnold, Mr. Young) was made to include the resolution in the minutes. Mr. Hicklin suggested some rewording of the resolution that Site Three be identified as to its exact location. Mr. Arnold stated that since everyone knew that the McLean County Association of Commerce and Industry had approved only one site, that the resolution should be sufficient in its present form. Mr. Tarrant asked Mr. Arnold what he thought the effect would be on the Regional Planning Commission. Mr. Arnold stated that he thought if enough people yelled and enough people screamed that we could suggest this being done. He stated that we want to go with what is best for the maximum number of students of the University as well as the local communities; if not, we could be hurt. The motion was unanimously carried.

#### ACTION ITEMS

##### 1. SCERB POLICY REVISIONS.

Mr. Chamberlain read the proposed regulation G on alcohol as follows:

A student or visitor may not purchase, consume, or possess any alcoholic beverages except under the following condition: Students and/or their guests classified by the State of Illinois as being of legal age for purchase, consumption, and possession of certain alcoholic beverages may possess or consume, but not purchase or sell such beverages within the confines of their own apartment or individual room or the apartment or room of their host/hostess. These individuals may transport such beverages to and from these areas providing the beverage is sealed. Other specific areas in residence units may be designated for legal possession and consumption of alcohol by the Office of University Housing. No person shall sell or give any alcoholic beverages to any person not of legal age for possession or consumption of such beverages.

Mr. Chamberlain then yielded the floor to Mr. Chris Schwelle, Executive Secretary of the Student Code Enforcement and Review Board. Mr. Schwelle explained that a workshop had been originally held in March which resulted in the drafting on this proposal which resulted in the proposed changes that are in the Senate now. Mr. Schwelle stated that a sanction was within the jurisdiction of the experiment to conclude this change without coming to the Senate. Mr. Schwelle explained that the various University officials felt

that the Regulation G change would be enforceable and feasible. Mr. Schwelle explained some of the criteria that will be used in the election of designated areas for the consumption of alcohol.

VI, 5 A motion (Mr. Chamberlain, Mr. Rogers) was made that the Academic Senate pass these proposals as distributed.

Mr. Chamberlain also read the proposed change in Item F-1 under "Hearing Committees" Parental Notification as follows:

The parents of students may, at the discretion of the Hearing Committee, be informed of the sanction imposed. Students may petition SCERB within ten working days to waive the letter to parents. Parental letters shall not be sent for clearance of technical violation.

VI, 6 (Mr. Kolasa, Mr. Henry) proposed an amendment to the motion. Mr. Kolasa stated that Mr. Goleash had been on vacation, and he had consulted the Student Association lawyer and Mr. Tom Eimermann of the ACLU. Mr. Kolasa's motion had to do with the notification of parents, in a conviction under the SCERB hearings. The amendment proposed is as follows:

Parental notification shall be left to the complete discretion of the student. Only upon a specific request from the student can the SCERB Hearing Committee inform the student's parents of the sanction imposed.

Mr. Hicklin read a section from A Bulletin of General Government Relations from the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction which seemed to indicate that a new federal amendment to the Education Act would preclude release of information gathered by the University for anyone over 18 years of age without the student's consent including the release of information back to the student's own parents. Mr. Plantan questioned Mr. Schwelle about the various implementation of the alcohol provision. Mr. Plantan asked whether the drinking or non-drinking roommates opinion would take precedence. The Chairperson ruled, however, that this discussion was not on the amendment concerning parental consent.

Regarding the Kolasa amendment, Mr. Arnold stated that he did not believe that the University should be in a position to inform the parents of his troubles with SCERB. Mr. Arnold stated that people could be arrested without notification of the parents and, therefore, should be able to become involved with SCERB without parental notification. Mr. Tarrant said he was ready to support the Kolasa motion at the present time,

Mr. Henry, who attended the workshop, supported the Kolasa resolution. Mr. Rogers spoke in opposition to the amendment. Mr. Rogers stated that his parents were paying for his education and if he were to get busted for something he thought his parents should be told. Most students, he stated, would not be able to make up their minds; SCERB would be in a much better position to do the deciding.

Mr. Henry stated that he hoped we would develop the integrity to make decisions while here at ISU.

Mr. Taylor asked the question whether there was some compelling reason to amend the rule; in cases of suspension or expulsion there might be a reason to notify the parents.

Mr. Schwelle stated that that was true of most cases and SCERB wanted to reserve some option to notify the parents.

Mr. Plantan made the point that if you are 18 years old that you should be able to take care of yourself without your parent's reference. Mr. Plantan stated that he would hate to see the students who could take care of themselves hurt by those who could not take care of themselves.

Ms. Chesebro raised the possibility where there have been court cases where the parents have not been notified of punishment of students. Ms. Chesebro asked that we might possibly postpone this for a couple of weeks until we got some sound legal advice.

VI, 7 A motion was made (Ms. Chesebro, Mr. Rogers) that we postpone action on the amendment.

Mr. Hickrod stated that he would support the motion to postpone since this amendment to the Education Act is very confusing and even the present policy might be conflicting and we certainly need legal consent on this matter.

VI, 8 Various persons made points for and against postponement of the Kolasa amendment. A motion was made (Mr. Chamberlain, Ms. Stone) to close debate. Motion to close debate was passed unanimously. Motion VI, 7 passed 25 to 20.

VI, 9 A motion (Mr. Parr, Mr. Taylor) to consider the two parts of the original motion separately. Motion was carried unanimously.

Mr. Plantan raised a question to Mr. Schwelle concerning a difference between roommates on the use of alcohol. Mr. Schwelle referred to Dan Girvan of the Housing Office and he stated that this would be dealt with as a roommate conflict and would go through the channel setup for roommate conflict grievances.

Ms. Berlanga raised the question whether or not we needed the permission of the Board of Regents to extend alcohol into the lounges outside the rooms. Mr. Tarrant asked if alcohol would be permitted in the dining rooms, such as wine with the meals, for such nationalities or visitors who are accustomed to it.

Mr. Gamsky stated that this would be possible. Motion VI.5 (part on alcohol) passed unanimously.

VI, 10 A motion was made (Mr. Parr, Mr. Henry) to move to postpone the SCERB Item F-1 until legal ramifications can be sorted out.

Mr. Gamsky pleaded for not postponing the amendment and he stated that we could give SCERB the option of notifying the parents until they can find what the legalities of the questions are. The parliamentary power of the Senate and the pros and cons of postponing were discussed.

Mr. Plantan called on SCERB to withhold any parental notifications until such time as we could straighten out the proposed amendments. (Motion VI, 10 failed.) The Senate returned to the main motion (VI, 5 Part II). Someone called for the question. The Chairperson stated that Mr. Plantan could still make an amendment and Mr. Woods called for a challenge to the ruling of the chair and appealed to the parliamentarian. Mr. Rives, the parliamentarian, explained the difference between "I move the previous question" and "I call for the question." The first is a move to close debate and the other just a request to voluntarily move to the vote on the motion.

VI, 11 A motion was made (Mr. Plantan, Mr. Baska) that parental notification should be postponed pending Academic Senate action on the postponed amendment by Kolasa. Mr. Plantan argued that since we cannot take any retroactive action on parental notification we should pass his motion.

Mr. Taylor stated it might be rather long and an extended period of time and there would be notifications of parents to be collected and filed away. During this period there would be no policy at all. The present unamended policy may be sent up to SCERB and if a given notification is questionable, then SCERB can be reasonable in using their discretion. Mr. Taylor urged that the amendment might be enough. Mr. Madore asked if a motion to amend by deletion were in order. It was declared to be in order and the deletion "on the basis of financial independence from parents" is to be struck out of the main proposition.

Mr. Gamsky stated that unless we pass the main motion, that the present policy calls for parents to be notified of sanctions from SCERB. Under the proposed change, notification would be optional. Mr. Young stated that the proposed deletion in fact has the same effect as the Kolasa amendment which we postponed.

VI, 12 A motion (Mr. Laymon, Mr. Taylor) to close debate was made. Motion was carried unanimously. The motion VI, 11 failed on a voice vote.

VI, 13 A motion (Mr. Madore, Mr. Tarrant) to strike "on the basis of financial independence from parents or other justification" from the main proposition was made. Mr. Taylor asked the question whether or not some motion was in order since we had voted subsequently on the same question on two other items. Mr. Taylor asked for a ruling from the chair as to whether or not the Madore amendment is substantially different from the two previous motions. The Chairperson ruled that the motion was substantially different. Mr. Taylor asked for an explanation from the Chairperson and he said that if it was not satisfactory to him that he would ask for an appeal of the ruling of the chair.

VI, 14 A motion (Mr. Taylor, Mr. Rogers) was made that the ruling of the chair that the Madore motion is subsequently different be overwritten. Mr. Gordon said that this was not a procedural motion and this was subject to a chair ruling and override. Mr. Taylor made it a plea that his appeal to the Chair be acted on. Motion VI, 14 failed on a voice vote.

Mr. Madore said that the intended interpretation was that the mere fact that students have petitioned for a waiver of notification of parents does not rule out the possibility that SCERB would neglect the students' petition and, therefore, notification of parents would be possible despite the petition.



Mr. Laymon made the point that if you take out the part "on the basis of financial independence from parents or other justification" this might give the students more leeway for the student to request non-notification of parents. Mr. Henry said that if the Senate passed the Madore amendment, then it would be unnecessary to reconsider the Kolasa amendment.

Mr. Morris asked for Mr. Madore to explain the intent of his motion. The discussion moved to what was the intent of the word "Petition" and what was the intent of the Madore motion. Madore said that is was the intent of his motion to rule out parental notification if a student petitions SCERB within ten working days. Others on the Senate interpreted it another way in that this would simply reduce the specific basis for asking SCERB not to notify parents. The key word seemed to be "petition" and the Chair stated that the common interpretation of the work "petition" was a supplication or request, not a demand. Mr. Madore stated that it reduced the specificity of conditions under which a petition might be entered into to SCERB.

VI, 15 A motion was made (Ms. Amster, Mr. Smith) to close debate on the Madore amendment. The motion was unanimously carried. Motion VI, 13 failed on a voice vote which brings back the main motion. (VI, 5 paragraph III)

Mr. Henry called for a roll call vote and the motion VI, 5 passed 39 yes, 4 no. Mr. Henry explained his vote that while this was not the best possible motion, he felt that it is more lenient than the present policy, and he voted for it; It should hold until such time as we could consider the Kolasa amendment.

Mr. Kolasa said he voted against the change because it will release some of the pressure on certain people to hold up letters and, therefore, some letters that might not have been sent will probably be sent.

The Chair declared a short recess.

Meeting convened at 9:25 p.m.

The Chairperson announced that Ms. Chambers had become ill at the break and Ms. Leonhard would fill in for her.

## 2. FAC Proposal for a Referee Body.

Mr. Smith introduced the next item on the agenda with the addition of the word "each" to be added after the word "member" in line three.

VI, 16 A motion was made (Mr. Smith, Mr. Young) that the Senate Adopt this proposal.

Mr. Hickrod speaking from experience of service on the Ethics Committee, urged strongly the proposal be approved. Ms. Chesebro asked that the word "he/she" be added to the last line. Motion passed. (Copy appended to minutes.)

## INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Mr. Steinbach spoke for the Student Affairs Committee for the enlargement of the Entertainment Committee. He explained that they wanted to add additional personnel in order to get more help to usher at concerts and so they could rotate people working at the concerts. Mr. Steinbach also made a plea of extending the number of faculty members from 4 to 5 and also stated that the interest of faculty members has dropped. Mr. Hicklin mentioned that students on the Senate had previously made a suggestion that faculty members be eliminated

entirely from this committee. Mr. Steinbach said that the present Entertainment Committee finds faculty participation to be valuable. Mr. Rogers further explained the duties at the concerts. Mr. Steinbach asked that it be an action item. The Chairperson ruled that dependent upon the Rules Committee codifying the proposal and putting it in proper order the proposal would be an action item.

2. The next information item was the proposed Codification of Advisory Committee on Affirmative Action for Minorities. Mr. Morris commented on this and presented the proposal to the Senate. Mr. Young raised the question as to why the disposition reports go directly from the committee to the Secretary of the University to the President. Mr. Morris said they discussed this and in fact the suggested change had been sent to the Rules Committee and still may be incorporated before the proposal comes back as an action item.

### COMMITTEE REPORTS

Executive Committee - The Chairperson highlighted a couple of matters as requested by Mr. Kolasa. One of the items for further discussion by the Executive Committee was the election of a pool of ten people which will be sent to the President so he may choose Chairpersons for Selection Committees. It is imperative that we get this done. The committee attempted to solicit nominations but had not received enough to hold an election. It was ruled that a short biographical sketch should be included with the name which is submitted.

It was also announced that the Executive Committee had received word that Mr. John Hill felt that he should resign from the position of faculty representative to AACMU since he has been given a part-time staff appointment with AACMU. Mr. Sutherland stated that he has talked with Mr. Eimmermann, Chairman of the CCGR Committee and would like to move very quickly to a second open hearing on the proposed governance change.

Mr. Sutherland stated that it was his feeling that the CCGR Committee is suffering from some battle fatigue since every time they appear, people simply criticize their proposal and offer few constructive suggestions. The Chairperson stated that they are asking that counter proposals be suggested rather than just criticism. The Senate will stress this point when we invite the University community to respond at the public hearing. Hopefully, we can get closure on this matter some time in November at the latest.

Student Affairs Committee - Mr. Chamberlain reported for Student Affairs and stated that he wanted to apologize to Mr. Schwelle and to his colleagues for not being better informed and for not seeking more of a consensus on the SCERB regulation changes.

Faculty Affairs Committee - Mr. Smith stated that several things have been considered by the Faculty Affairs Committee including (1) tuition waivers for surviving dependents of deceased faculty and staff; (2) the Faculty Status Committee's access to APT files; (3) the matter of distributing faculty grievances to the appropriate committee. Mr. Smith stated that the Committee is also considering a long-range policy on what constitutes "financial exigencies."

Academic Affairs Committee - Ms. Chesebro reported for Academic Affairs and reported that there would be a hearing on Monday, September 18, 1974, on Master Plan Phase IV.

Rules Committee - Mr. Roderick requested a meeting of the Rules Committee after the Senate meeting.

Administrative Affairs Committee - Mr. Mead updated a report of the Administrative Affairs Committee on the proposal of a system on chairperson evaluation, which is a continuing information item. (INSET dated 8-12-74, two pages) The primary change was the elimination of the APT process for chairperson evaluation. The other modification came from those persons who felt that there should be more flexibility in the system for the whole University.

The Administrative Affairs Committee once again called upon the Colleges to come up with their own proposals on criteria for chairperson evaluation within the guidelines which are contained in the memo. This memo spells out eight principles. Mr. Mead asked that this item be placed on the Agenda for the next Academic Senate meeting as an action item. Mr. Mead stated that if College Councils do not come up with an alternate plan, the model that has been proposed by the committee will go into effect for that particular college. Mr. Mead stressed the fact that some people are still questioning the intent of the committee; several chairpersons appeared in front of the Administrative Affairs Committee and asked that they get feedback and the attempt to get a workable model which can be implemented by colleges. The intent is a system of chairperson evaluation which could be implemented by a college council providing that it follows the guidelines, if they are passed by the Academic Senate.

Chairperson Sutherland clarified the issues by ascertaining that it was the intent of the Administrative Affairs Committee to put the eight guidelines for college councils to develop a system of chairperson evaluation on the Agenda as an action item for the Senate meeting of September 11, 1974. If the Senate approves the guidelines, then after December, the other option for all the University would go into effect for those colleges not offering their own proposals.

Mr. Laymon objected to the proposed deadline of November 1, 1974.

Mr. Hicklin made the point that the deadlines which were questioned by Mr. Laymon cannot be affirmed deadlines until the Senate approves and until the administration promulgates the guidelines to the College Councils for them to follow.

Mr. Mead responded that any college could take an entirely different tack on the evaluation.

Mr. Mead explained the various proposals of the committee to Mr. Woods.

Other questions were raised with Mr. Mead about the report, such as timetable, typographical errors.

Motion made (Mr. Madore, Mr. Allred) at 10:30 p.m. to adjourn the meeting.  
Motion carried.



FACULTY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ON REFEREE BODY

August 28, 1974

This recommendation deals with concern over the overlapping responsibilities of the Grievance, Ethics, and Academic Freedom and Tenure Committees. The Faculty Affairs Committee recommends that one member each from the Ethics Committee, the Grievance Committee, and the Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee be appointed to a preliminary review board for the purpose of suggesting the appropriate committee to which the complainant should address his concern. The membership of the Grievance Committee and the Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee shall determine their representative to the preliminary review panel. Since the Ethics Committee is only convened after a charge has been made, we further recommend that the Chairperson of the Senate appoint a person to serve as a representative of the Ethics Committee and also that he/she serve on such committee when it is convened.

INDEX  
ACADEMIC SENATE M

Vol. VI, No. 1-17

28 August 74 - 16 July 75

	<u>Issue Number</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
Academic Advisement, Task Force on request for formation of Task Force	8	69 & appendix
Academic Affairs Committee of Midwestern Universities resignation of faculty representative	1	8
acceptance of resignation of faculty representative	2	11
election of faculty representative	2	20
Academic Calendar, 1976-1977 information item	16	119 & appendix
action item	17	125-6
Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee election of members	13	94 & appendix
Academic Plan information item	7	56-7
action item	8	62 & appendix
recommendation of Student Association on Academic Plan	8	62 & appendix
information item	9	73-4
action item	10	78 & appendix
Academic Planning Committee appointment	5	37 & appendix
appointments	14	103A & appendix
Academic Programs Cooperative Master's Program in Agriculture	8	68 & appendix
Major and Minor in Mass Communication	9	72 & appendix
Ed.D. in Curriculum and Instruction information item	9	72-3
action item	10	77
Major in Information Sciences information item	10	78-9
information item	11	86-7
action item	12	91
Doctor of Arts Degree in English information item	10	79
information item	11	85-6
action item	12	90-91
Deletion of 12 B.S. in Education Degrees information item	13	95
action item	14	101-2
Early Childhood Major information item	13	95-6
action item	14	102

Index to Volume VI  
page two

Academic Programs (cont)		
Social Work Major		
information item	13	96
action item	14	102
Legal Studies Major		
information item	13	97
action item	14	102
Applied Computer Sciences Program		
information item	13	97
action item	14	103
Individualized Contract Major		
information item	13	97-8
action item	14	103
Academic Senate		
standing internal committee assignments	12	appendix
summer meeting schedule	14	101
summer meeting schedule	15	108
Academic Senate Bylaws		
revision of 4.4e		
information item	6	45 & appendix
action item	7	54
Academic Standards Committee		
addition of two non-voting members to the committee	2	19 & appendix
appointments	14	103A & appendix
Advisory Committee on Affirmative Action for Minorities		
codification		
information item	1	8
action item	2	19
election of members	6	44
election of members	7	55
Affirmative Action for Minorities Policy Statement		
information item	15	112
information item	16	117-8
action item	17	124
Athletic Council		
nominations for student appointments	5	37 & appendix
nominations for chairman	5	37 & appendix
nominations for faculty appointment	14	103A & appendix
nominations for student appointments	15	108 & appendix
Bicycle Regulations		
jurisdiction changed from Administrative Affairs to Student Affairs Committee	3	25
University Bicycle Committee Codification		
information	10	79
information	14	104 & appendix
information	15	111-12

Index to Volume VI  
page three

Board of Higher Education		
election of Normand Madore to Faculty Advisory Committee to the BHE	7	55
committee established to respond to Master Plan IV	8	70
recommendation for Master Plan IV		
information item	9	73
action item	10	78 & appendix
Board of Regents		
report on student finances	16	116
introduction of ISU's student regent	16	116
Budget		
request for continuing reports from budget liaison	6	49
budget report	7	51-4
budget report	10	80
vice-chairperson requested to observe Budget Team	12	89 & appendix
report on budget cuts	16	115-6
resolution of appreciation of Dean Belshe's service as chairman of Budget Team requested	16	116
Chairperson Designation		
information/action	6	48 & appendix
College of Applied Science and Technology		
bylaws approved by Rules Committee	10	80
College of Business		
statement re accreditation	10	77 & appendix
Committee on Constitutional and Governance Review		
call for second open hearing	1	8
second hearing scheduled	3	23
appointment of three student members	4	30
governance revision	7	57-9 & appendix
governance revision	8	66-7
communication from President re alternative proposal for implementing civil service input into governance	8	66 & appendix
Continuing Education		
adoption of standard measuring unit; change in reporting relationship	17	123-4
Corrections Program, Change to Corrections Department		
information item	16	119-20 & appendix
action item	17	124-5
Council for Teacher Education		
appointment	5	37 & appendix
establishment of Teacher Education Review Board	5	41 & appendix
appointments	8	62
addition of one student member	10	80
appointments	15	108 & appendix



Index to Volume VI  
page four

Council on University Studies		
appointment	6	44 & appendix
appointments	14	103A & appendix
Department of Business Education		
name change to Department of Business Education and Administrative Services	15	108
Election		
procedures for filling Koehl vacancy		
information item	4	29 & appendix
action item	5	37-40 & appendix
election of secretary	7	55
apportionment	8	appendix
results of Senate election	10	appendix
Senate internal election		
chairperson	11	82
vice chairperson	11	82-3
secretary	11	83
Executive Committee	11	83-4
Elections Committee		
appointment	5	37 & appendix
appointments	14	103A & appendix
Entertainment Committee		
enlargement of committee		
information item	1	7-8
action item	2	19 & appendix
reduction of committee size	14	105
appointments	14	103A & appendix
membership change in and appointments to Entertain- ment Committee	15	112 & appendix
Evaluation of Academic Administrators		
update on chairperson evaluation proposal	1	9
chairperson evaluation procedures	2	12-19 & appendix
establishment of liaisons to college councils	3	26
Facilities Planning Committee		
appointments	5	37 & appendix
appointment	14	103A & appendix
Faculty Dismissal for Reasons of Financial Exigency		
proposal withdrawn	9	74
proposal withdrawn	10	78
Faculty Grievance Committee		
seating of member	2	19 & appendix
election of members	13	94 & appendix

Index to Volume VI  
page five

Faculty Status Committee		
call for nominations	2	appendix
election of two members	4	30, 32, 33
request for parallel APT system for temporary faculty	10	80 & appendix
election of members	12	94 & appendix
APT procedures unchanged	13	98
General Revenue Budget Advisory Committee		
appointments	5	37 & appendix
appointments	6	44 & appendix
appointments	15	108 & appendix
Governance Revision. See Committee on Constitutional and Governance Review.		
Graduate School		
hearings on revisions in Graduate School Bylaws	4	28
Graduate School Bylaws		
information item	16	120
action item	17	126 & appendix
Honorary Degree Recipients, Guidelines for Selection of		
information item	14	105 & appendix
action item	15	112 & appendix
Reconsideration of Restrictions on Honorary Degree Recipients	15	112 & appendix
Honors Council		
appointments	5	37 & appendix
Incomplete Policy		
information item	7	59
action item	8	63-6 & appendix
Joint University Advisory Committee		
resignation	4	29
election to replace Mr. Young	4	29
election to replace Mr. Hicklin	7	55
election of members	11	84
motion to hold one position vacant	11	84
Constitutional Amendment re Civil Service Representative on JUAC		
information	14	106
information	15	109 & appendix
information	16	118
information	17	124
Kolasa Amendment. See SCERB Policy Revisions: Parental Notification.		
Library Committee		
appointments	5	37 & appendix
Memorial Statement		
William Wantling	1	1
Vytautas V. Gaigalas	2	11 & appendix
Francis R. Geigle	7	51

Index to Volume VI  
page six

Orientation		
committee established to plan orientation session for new senators	9	72
plans for orientation	10	80
Parking Committee		
appointment	2	19 & appendix
appointment	3	25
appointment	5	37 & appendix
recodification		
information item	9	74
action item	10	78
Prerequisite for Student Teaching		
information item	15	113 & appendix
action item	16	116-7
President's Remarks		
letter re budget situation	7	52 & appendix
BHE approval for new programs	6	43
request for executive session to consider honorary degree recommendations	10	77
letter requesting Vice Chairperson to observe Budget Team meetings	12	89 & appendix
letter to academic community re budget cut	17	123
Proficiency Examination Policy		
information item	3	25 & appendix
action item	4	30-33 & appendix
Re-creation of Housing Committee. See SCERB Policy Revisions: Parental Notification.		
Referee Body		
action item	1	7 & appendix
Reinstatement Committee		
appointments	5	37 & appendix
Remarks of Student Association President		
resolution on site for Amtrak	1	2-3
remarks on financing higher education	4	29
report to Board of Regents re financing of higher education	6	44
addition of another legal attorney	17	124
Resignations from Senate		
Roger Potter	2	11
Ed Koehl	2	11
Barry Chamberlain	5	36
Felicitas Berlanga	6	43
George L. Wallace	7	51
Charles Hicklin	7	51
Anthony Liberta	11	82
Bill Kauth	12	89
David Sawicki	13	94

Index to Volume VI  
page seven

Resignation of Clerical Secretary		
expression of appreciation	1	1
resignation of clerical secretary	2	21
Retirement		
resolution re full funding of State Universities Retirement System	14	103 & appendix
Escalation Clause for Retirement Annuity		
information item	14	103 & appendix
action item	15	108-9
SCERB - Student Code Enforcement and Review Board		
Policy Revisions: Regulation G on Alcohol	1	3-4
Policy Revisions: Parental Notification	1	4-7
discussion	2	19-20
discussion	6	45-8
Appointment	5	37 & appendix
Annual Report	5	40
Appointments	6	44-5
Appointment	15	108 & appendix
Search and Screening Committees		
Call for nominees for Administrative Selection Committee Chairman's Panel (Panel of 10)	1	8
election of Panel	2	19, 20, 21 & app.
chairperson named for Vice President and Provost Selection Committee	3	23
chairperson named for Dean of College of Applied Science and Technology Selection Committee	3	23
chairperson named for Dean of Graduate School Selection Committee	3	23
provision for student representation	3	23
provision for student representation	4	29
election of three student members for Vice President and Provost Selection Committee	4	30
election of two graduate students for Dean of Graduate School Selection Committee	4	30
change in Dean Selection Committee Procedures		
information item	6	45 & appendix
action item	7	54-5
resignation of John Briggs from Dean of Graduate School Selection Committee	7	51
election of Donna Maddox to Dean of Graduate School Selection Committee	7	55
announcement of vacancy in position of Dean of College of Education	8	61
chairperson named for College of Education Dean Selection Committee	8	61
Dean of College of Education Selection Committee named	9	72
revisions in Dean Selection Committee Procedures	16	120 & appendix

Index to Volume VI  
page eight

Seating of Senators		
James Brubeck	5	36
	6	43
Kathleen Boyd	6	43
Greg Ewald	7	51
Bernard Bernardi	14	101
David van de Voort	14	101
Summer School Program Recommendations		
information item	14	103-4 & appendix
action item	15	110-11 & appendix
Tuition Waiver Benefits for Survivors of Deceased Faculty and Staff		
information item	2	20
action item	3	24-5 & appendix
University Curriculum Committee		
appointment	4	33
codification		
information item	4	33
action item	5	37 & appendix
appointment	5	37 & appendix
Annual Report	8	68 & appendix
appointments	15	108 & appendix
University Union Board		
statement of University Union Board President	2	21 & appendix
appointments	6	44 & appendix
appointments	13	95 & appendix
Vice Chairperson		
resolution of appreciation requested	11	83
resolution of appreciation	12	89
invitation to observe Budget Team meetings	12	89 & appendix
Vidette Editor Selection Procedures		
implementation of Vidette Editor Selection Procedures	4	30
request for appointment to Vidette Long Range Study		
Committee	5	36
appointment to Vidette Long Range Study Committee	8	63