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Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.
Academic Senate Minutes  
(Not approved by the Academic Senate)

November 2, 1977  
Volume IX, No. 5

Call to Order

The meeting of the Academic Senate was called to order by Chairperson Cohen at 7:00 p.m. in Stevenson 401.

Roll Call

The Secretary called the roll and declared a quorum to be present.

Approval of Minutes

A motion (Sims/Smith) to approve the minutes of the October 19, 1977 Senate meeting with the following corrections was made. On page 3 Mr. Quane stated that he had asked what damage would be done to the Speech Communication Education Major if it were reduced to 37 hours as a requirement, not 30 hours. He also stated that under the Faculty Affairs Committee report, he said that the departments had been surveyed on the "idea of departmental staffing plans," not "certain Departmental Staffing Plans." Mr. Cooper stated that he had been excused from the last meeting. Ms. Cook indicated that on page 5 in the discussion concerning the expansion of the Parking and Traffic Committee, her statement should have read "expand the committee from 12 to 15 to 18 members." The minutes were approved as corrected.

Seating of New Senators

Ned Heflin, who will serve on the Student Affairs Committee, was welcomed to the Senate as a new student member. Randy Mohr, who will serve on the Faculty Affairs Committee, was welcomed also as a new student member.

Chairperson's Remarks

Mr. Cohen stated that a while back the Senate approved the merging of all the Special Education degrees but at the time the Senate agreed that the proposal would not move to the BOR until approved by the University Curriculum Committee. He announced that that stage had been reached.

He also announced the recent election of Monte Law, graduate student senator, to the position of President of the College Democrats of Illinois.

Administrators' Remarks

President Watkins spoke on the recent BOR approval of the redoing of the astroturf on Hancock Field and the irrigation system for the fairways of the golf course. He stated that these items still need BHE approval. He stated that the University is spending a great deal of time deliberating budget matters. He thought it was difficult to tell the feelings at this time of the Board of Higher Education on budget, however they do recognize that salaries have been eroded by inflation. Mr. Watkins will make the State of the University address Thursday, November 10.
Provost Horner indicated that the Budget Team is still deliberating procedures to be followed in 1977-78 in building a budget for the Fiscal Year 1979. He stated that the Budget Team intends to write up their procedures for University-wide publication and distribution in an effort to offset a number of misconceptions about the Budget Team's operation.

**Student Body President's Remarks**

Mr. Rutherford stated that the Student Association Office had held a successful Open House the day of the Senate meeting. He announced that a Student Association Assembly meeting will be held Sunday, November 6, at 4:00 p.m. in the Founder's Suite.

**Election of Faculty to Ethics and Grievance Committee**

Eight faculty members were elected to serve on the Ethics and Grievance Committee. (See Appendix 3.)

**Department of Sociology-Anthropology Name Change**

Mr. Ritt stated that the Academic Affairs Committee had voted unanimously to recommend that the department of Sociology-Anthropology be changed to Sociology, Anthropology, and Social Work. A motion (Ritt/Moonan) to change the name of the Sociology-Anthropology Department to Sociology, Anthropology, Social Work was approved on a voice vote.

**Change in Speech Communication Education Major**

Mr. Carey stated that the Academic Affairs Committee has voted unanimously to recommend passage of the change. A motion (Carey/Greathouse) to approve the change in Speech Communication Education Major was made. Mr. Carey stated that William Semlak, George Tuttle, and John Cragan of the Information Sciences department were present to answer questions.

Mr. Rice asked for a description of the various requirements of the major, as provided in the proposal. Mr. Tuttle explained that required hours in the major increase from 22 to 28 and that required elective hours decrease from 14 to 12 resulting in the total hours required for the major increasing from 36 to 40. Required courses are placed in five groups, and a student takes 12 hours from two of the groups. The groups are small group/interpersonal, social communication, rhetorical criticism, education, and a series of courses from other areas of the Department outside the Department. Mr. Sims asked what courses were in the last group (Group E). Mr. Tuttle explained that there are five courses required—two are from the Information Sciences department, two are from the Theatre department (oral interpretation), and one from Speech Pathology. Mr. Sanders expressed concern about possible confusion from labeling these groups A through E as in the University Studies requirements.

Mr. Christiansen asked how many hours the Speech Communication Education major graduates with at the present time. Mr. Tuttle estimated 36 to 40 hours as an average. Mr. Carey asked if acceptance of the proposal would make a change that is going on right now, since major students are presently taking an excess of 30 hours. Mr. Tuttle responded that the basic change will be in the grouping of the courses; some students take nearly 40 hours of coursework now, others don't.
Mr. Carlile stated his concern for the 40-hour requirement in that it may increase the workload for students. Mr. Tuttle stated that a student should not have to remain at the University for more than four years in order to meet the requirements, and that students are being advised to do this now.

Mr. March asked if there was any inconsistency in approving this Speech Communication Education major and an M.S. in Education for Secondary Teachers in Speech Communication as one of the information items proposes. Mr. Cragan stated there was none. The two are unrelated questions.

The change in the Speech Communication Education major was approved on a voice vote.

Committee Appointments

Ms. Upton stated that a list of student nominees for various external committees had been forwarded to the Rules Committee by the Student Association for approval. A motion (Upton/Rutherford) to place in nomination and to ratify the names submitted by the Student Association was approved on a voice vote. (See Appendix 4.)

Minor in Public Relations

Ms. Weidner gave a brief description of the requirements of the minor in Public Relations, then introduced John Cragan and Mike Shelly, of the Information Sciences Department, who were present to answer questions.

Mr. Sims asked the rationale for the one new course developed for this minor. Mr. Shelly described it as a seminar on Public Relations which the accrediting agency requires be a part of such programs. Ms. Patterson observed we are letting outside agencies dictate our curriculum.

Mr. Quane stated that the description of the minor proposal stated that courses taken for a major in the department could not be counted for the minor. Mr. Quane asked if this means any major in the University. Mr. Cragan replied, no. Mr. Cragan stated that that was to prevent the overlapping of credits for the five separate majors in the Information Sciences Department.

Mr. Goldstein asked why there are no attitude change type courses in this minor. Mr. Cragan said Speech Communication 202, Persuasive Speaking, does deal with this, and he added that a student strongly interested in this area could major in Psychology and minor in Public Relations.

Ms. Upton stated that those persons involved with consumer services were pleased to see a Public Relations Minor proposal. Mr. Cragan added that the students coming from the Information Sciences department will also be able to receive a title for the collection of courses they have taken. Ms. Upton asked if students outside the Department of Information Sciences will be able to get in these classes easily. Mr. Cragan responded that it would be difficult to state if there will be an increase, but if there is no radical increase, it won't be a problem.

Mr. Christiansen questioned the proposal's statement about major courses not being counted double. He referred to a policy that the University Curriculum Committee passed on double counting of courses. Mr. Cragan stated that he would investigate the policy and its application to the proposal.
Deletion of MS in Education Secondary for Teachers Degrees

Mr. Moonan introduced Dean White of the Graduate School, who attended the meeting to answer questions concerning the proposal. The ten departments where the MS in Education is proposed to be deleted are Art, Biological Sciences, Chemistry, English, Geography, History, Speech Communication, Spanish, Speech Pathology/Audiology, and Theatre. Students in these ten fields could pursue the M.S. in Ed. for Secondary Teachers in the College of Education.

Dean White began with a brief historical sketch of the proposal. He stated that this situation developed in 1970 when he was not involved yet with the Graduate School so Dean Helgeson was asked to give his vantage point. Dean White referred to a letter that was written to him by Dean Helgeson on the proposal. The following historical explanation was taken from that letter:

"Historically, this University was, to all intents and purposes, a college of Education until the 1960's, when we received authority to grant arts and science degrees and, later, fine arts degrees. The rationalization of our degree programs and our college structure began soon after; in fact, if not on paper. Increasingly, fewer students in the Arts and Science College, for instance, elected to pursue programs leading to the Education degree. This was recognized in the early 1970's when a number of departments in that College elected to drop the Ms. in Ed. from among the alternatives listed in the Graduate Catalog. I might add that it was always difficult to explain to outsiders, particularly in Education by departments in the other colleges, although we all understood the historic reasons for that development."

Dean White added that the proposal has already been approved by the Graduate Council. Mr. Ritt asked, if the Senate approves this deletion, does that carry with it approval of the entire document including the means and procedure adopted by the Graduate Council for Departments to establish such degrees. Dean White replied the sole question for the Senate is the deletion of these degrees.

Revision of Student Elections Code

Mr. Rice introduced the proposed Student Elections Code by giving historical background on revisions. He stated that at the close of the Spring Election last year the need became apparent for revision. At that time, the Elections Committee compiled a series of revisions, and the Elections Procedure Review Committee was formed which expounded on these revisions and proposed more. The proposed student elections code is a result of the Rules Committee's recommendations as well as the contributions of other bodies.

Mr. March began discussion by referring to a provision in the Code (page 3, II-5) which states that the Senate shall supply money for the election. He asked what would happen if the Senate did not have any money to allot to the committee. Mr. Gamsky complimented the Rules Committee on its accomplishments with revising the document, but he emphasized the importance of clarification of the Elections Committee's budget. He stated that it is important for the committee to remain autonomous and free from financial control by an specific body.

Mr. Hicklin referred to a section on Political Organizations in the document and questioned the workability of the provision that a political party's name may not be used until four years later without the permission of the party members. He recommended that the name be registered with a certain office that will easily be
contacted, instead of an individual who would be hard to trace. Mr. Rice stated that the intent was that if the person responsible graduates one year, a political party may not use the same title the next year. He stated that it provides protection for both the present political party and the past one.

Mr. Rice clarified a number of questions on the term "Elections Committee." He stated that it will mean "Student Elections Committee" for the purposes of the Code. Mr. Quane suggested clarification of this term in the final document, since it could easily be confused with the Senate external Elections Committee. Mr. Quane expressed the opinion that the Exceptions to Provisions Section (I-6, p.2) gave the committee carte blanche. The section is too open ended.

Mr. Carlile questioned the provision (II-1, P. 3) that three of the nine members of the Elections Committee shall be retained in a rotating manner from one year to the next. Mr. Rice explained that the intent is to stagger the membership so that three past members remain on the committee. He also stated that the committee's membership would be chosen by a screening committee composed of members chosen by three bodies: Student Association, the Academic Senate, and the Association of Residence Halls. In this manner, political favoritism on the committee will be avoided. Removal of a member (II-6, p. 3) would be by majority vote of the executive committees of each of these three bodies.

Mr. Emerson argued that there might be a possibility of a student serving four straight years on the Elections Committee, and requested that a restriction be made against that possibility.

Mr. Carlile questioned the provision of not using University blackboards for campaign purposes, since there are both blackboards and bulletin boards that are available for use in the Union. The suggestion to remedy the situation was that the provision should be for not using classroom blackboards. Mr. Carlile also asked if the code provided against straight party balloting. Mr. Rice responded that there is no mention in the Code of the manner of balloting. He stated that the bylaws of the particular bodies should be consulted for the manner of running.

Mr. Nagy referred to removal of campaign materials (p. 6, V-5) after the polls close on election day. He asked who would be responsible for removing the material. Mr. Rice responded that in the past the Residence Hall management has removed the notices. No specific persons were mentioned in the document so that those who remove the notices will not be subject to violation.

Mr. Nagy questioned the generality of the provision for removal of campaign material during the election. He stated that it doesn't provide for when or where the material shall not be removed. Mr. Rice stated that under section I-7, jurisdiction of off-campus and on-campus is defined. Mr. Karnstedt requested clarification of what comes under the code.

Mr. Quane asked that the source of budgeting (II-5, p. 3) be clarified for the next meeting. Mr. Quane questioned the section which states that the Code shall not conflict with the bylaws of the Academic Senate. Mr. Rice responded that the provision did not relate to any certain section of the bylaws of the Senate, only that the Code shall not violate any University procedures that are already established.

Mr. Quane asked why the name of the campaign manager must appear on campaign materials. Mr. Rice cited the example of past experiences of outside companies spon-
soring candidates; the Code provides for internal support of candidates. Owners of campaign materials can also be easily determined. Mr. Quane asked if buttons were considered campaign materials. Mr. Rice responded affirmatively, but he stated that they are exempt from some rules that apply to other campaign materials.

Mr. Quane questioned the policy of canvassing in dormitories. Mr. Rice stated that the procedure has been in the past to register with ARH, then follow the ruling of the particular dormitory on policies of canvassing and escorts.

Mr. Quane asked if it was possible to obtain some type of temporary identification to vote more than once or if a safeguard was available in order to avoid the problem. Mr. Rice stated that the only identification acceptable would be an ID card and activity card for a full-time student, and a part-time activity card for part-time students. Mr. Emerson asked if a student had to sign something at the polling place or if he was checked against a record as being a student.

Mr. Cooper asked if a student could present the equivalent of an ID at the polling place, in the case that his/hers was lost and he/she held a temporary at the time of elections. Mr. Rice responded affirmatively, in the case that the replacement is valid. Mr. Cooper requested clarification of the provision for temporary ID’s in the Code.

Mr. Quane requested clarification of the term "constituency" in the Code. Mr. Hicklin stated that the voter is a member of a constituency; he does not have a constituency.

There was considerable discussion on absentee balloting. Mr. Quane asked why absentee ballots were allowed to arrive on election day; Mr. Rice responded, in order to provide for students on foreign exchange programs. Mr. Karnstedt suggested that the ballot should be postmarked by election day to allow more time for the absentee ballot to return. Mr. Law suggested that the whole process be altered in terms of time allotment for the elections process. Mr. Rutherford stated that other bodies that hold elections in the fall would be inconvenienced by moving back the process.

Mr. Quane asked about the provision of an office for storage of the Elections Committee equipment and the committee’s headquarters on election day. He asked if there is an office that is used by the Committee year round. Mr. Rice stated that the office remains the same only for one year and is usually moved to a different location following the election.

Mr. Emerson requested that the Code provide for broader distribution of sample ballots, stating his belief that not all students had the opportunity to read the Vidette. He felt the distribution should be campus-wide.

Mr. Law questioned the distribution of campaign literature through University mail service. Mr. Rice stated that not using Campus Mail to deliver campaign material is a policy directive of the University. But, he stated, the stuffing of mail boxes is allowed in the dormitories. In most cases, the candidates have to do the work themselves.

Mr. Gamsky raised questions concerning the accusation of a violation in campaign procedures. He asked if the requirement of two witnesses of a violation was neces-
sary. Mr. Rice stated that if only one witness were required, it may mean that one political body may accuse another. With two witnesses, it will be more fair.

Mr. Hicklin referred to the section on the tabulation of votes. He stated that if electronic machines are used in the counting of votes, there should be some procedure to validate the counting machines. Prior to the election, a sample ballot could be run to ensure that no one will tamper with the machines. Mr. Rice explained that in the past, committee members have checked the program up to the time of election.

Mr. Law asked about the voiding of votes or ballots. Mr. Rice responded that if those counting the ballots can distinguish the votes for some candidates, the whole ballot will not be invalidated.

Committee Reports

Administrative Affairs Committee: Mr. Goldstein spoke briefly on changes in the parking situation. Recent provisions are that the penalty has been reduced from $25 to $5 in restricted lots from 4 p.m. to 4 a.m. only. There will also be a possible reduction in a $25 fine within seven days instead of the present 48 hours. Mr. Goldstein stated that although the reduction was welcome, the proper suggested procedures had not been followed. The change had not appeared on the Parking and Traffic Committee's agenda for two consecutive meetings.

The policy of assigned parking spaces is also under investigation, although as yet there has appeared no written policy from the Office of the Secretary of the University. There will be also a possible survey circulated on the parking situation, with suggestions from the Parking and Traffic Committee. Questions have been raised about the appeals procedure of the Parking Appeals Board and if a written procedure actually exists.

The next meeting of the Administrative Affairs Committee will be November 10, 4 p.m. in DeGarmo 435F.

Budget Committee: Ms. Cook stated that there will be a Committee meeting November 10 at 3:15 p.m.

Executive Committee: Mr. Christiansen stated that the next Committee meeting will be held Wednesday, November 9, at 4 p.m. in Hovey 308. He requested that all student senators return their time schedules to him as requested before the meeting.

Faculty Affairs: Mr. Quane stated that the Committee will review the ASPT procedures November 9, 7:30 p.m., in Stevenson 311.

JUAC: Mr. Smith stated that at the BOR meeting, it was reported that faculty salaries in Illinois were falling behind those of other states, and that that fact is being publicized to the citizens of the state. He also stated that JUAC is attempting to meet with other Advisory Committees from other Universities in order to exchange ideas. Tuition was also discussed at the BOR meeting. The possibility of adopting a gradual $25 to $30 yearly increase instead of a four-year $90 increase was discussed at great length.

Rules Committee: Ms. Upton called a meeting of the Committee following the Senate meeting. She requested that all suggested revisions of the Code be given either to her or to Dave Rice.
Student Affairs: Mr. Rutherford announced that the next Committee meeting will be held Wednesday, November 9, at 5:30 p.m. in DeGarmo 551. Discussion will be held concerning Athletic Council and Forum ByLaws.

Communications

Mr. Law asked if it would be possible to hold some of the Senate meetings in the Union. Mr. Cohen responded that it was not very likely, considering the expense of holding a meeting in the Union.

IX, 33 A motion (Carey/Rice) to adjourn was approved at 9:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted

Ira Cohen, Chairperson
John K. Boaz, Secretary
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butz</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carey</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlile</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christiansen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croxville</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekelston</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldstein</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greathouse</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflin</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hicklin</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnstedt</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koehler</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massie</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohr</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moonan</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagy</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patzer</td>
<td>A exc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quane</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodes</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenbaum</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searight</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sims</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanton</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vysira</td>
<td>A exc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldner</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wil R</td>
<td>A exc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casek</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelshe</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horner</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watkins</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3

Ethics and Grievance Committee
(elected November 2, 1977)

Virginia Crafts, HPERD
Alvin House, Psychology
Dennis Kruse, Finance & Law
David Livers, Curriculum & Instruction
Michael Lorber, Curriculum & Instruction
David MacDonald, History
Beverly Wilson, HPERD
Richard Youngs, Curriculum & Instruction

(elected October 19, 1977)

A. Kay Clifton, Sociology, Anthropology, and Social Work
Scott Eatherly, English
Edmund Ficek, Finance and Law

APPENDIX 4

Reinstatement Committee
Thomas Quinn

Honors Council
Denise M. Johnson

Council on University Studies
Dorothy Feike

Student Code Enforcement Review Board (SCERB)
(The President selects three of those nominated)

Dave Fultz
Jeff Kraft
James Luebaker
Mary Mattimore
Sheila McGraw
Paul Paxton