Illinois State University

ISU ReD: Research and eData

Academic Senate Minutes

Academic Senate

Spring 4-15-1981

Senate Meeting April 15, 1981

Academic Senate Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes

Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting April 15, 1981" (1981). *Academic Senate Minutes*. 397. https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/397

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

SHUMAN STANLEY OFC V P FOR BUSINESS & FINANCE

ACADEMIC SENA'LE FILMULES (not approved by the Academic Senate)

April 15, 1981

Volume XII, No. 14

Contents

Call to Order

Roll Call

Approval of Minutes of April 1, 1981

Chairperson's Remarks

Vice Chairperson's Remarks

Administrators' Remarks

Student Body President's Remarks

14.20

Proposal for Master's Degree Program in Health Education (2.18.81.1)

Executive Session

Communications

Adjournment

1531 4 A

194 - P.S.L.

1:5

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University Community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES (not approved by the Academic Senate)

April 15, 1981

Volume XII, No. 14

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Tuttle at 7 p.m.

Roll Call

Secretary Brickell called the roll and announced that a quorum was present.

Approval of Minutes of April 1, 1981

XII-98 On a motion by Mr. Cohen (seconded by Ms. Gowen), the minutes of the April 1, 1981, meeting were approved on a voice vote.

Chairperson's Reamrks

Mr. Tuttle said that Mr. Hicklin (in the absence of the Parliamentarian, Mr. Boaz) would serve as parliamentarian for this meeting.

Mr. Tuttle commented that we were facing critical weeks ahead in terms of funding for higher education in Illinois, and that we may know something in the near future.

The Executive Committee made internal committee appointments and with few exceptions every Senator had been assigned to a committee of choice. Contrary to the Bylaws, two Senators who had already served two full years on the Rules Committee were being reappointed. This was done, in part, because not enough other Senators had chosen this committee. The Budget Committee had begun to execute its function of reviewing budget implications of items which would come before the Senate. This would be a routine procedure, to provide information to the Senate.

Vice Chairperson's Remarks

Ms. Anderson said the screening process for student members of external committees had begun. Applications were due April 24 and screenings would be conducted on April 26 and 27, from 7-9 p.m. in Stevenson 401. Ms. Anderson's office hours will be 3-4 p.m., Monday and Wednesday, and 1-2 p.m., Tuesday and Thursday.

Administrators' Remarks

Mr. Strand noted that Provost Boothe and Vice President Gamsky were out of town and that President Watkins had another commitment this evening. He reported that the Board of Regents would be meeting on April 22, 1981, at 10 a.m. in the Circus Room of the University Union. The President was still reviewing the composition of the Institutional Priorities Committee, and was looking at the role, function, and composition of the Budget Team.

Student Body President's Remarks

Mr. Webber said the Student Association was interviewing for the Normal Town Council liaison position and receiving applications for Student Regent. Five intern positions have been filled. He spoke of the Student Association's concern with the Town of Normal's proposed noise ordinance. It was felt that the proposed ordinance was directed to students only in that it was aimed at controlling amplified music. The Student Association could support such an ordinance if broader in purpose, but did oppose the suggested fee for a permit to exceed the distance covered in the proposed ordinance. Mr. Webber had testified before the Normal Improvement Committee on April 14.

ACTION ITEM

Proposal for Master's Degree Program in Health Education (2.28.81.1)

XII-99 Mr. Schmaltz, for the old Academic Affairs Committee, <u>moved approval of</u> <u>the Proposal for a Master's Degree Program in Health Education</u> (seconded by Ms. Varner).

> Mr. Tuttle said that a report was being distributed by the new Budget Committee and declared a 10-minutes recess at 7:15 p.m. to allow time for the Senators to read the report.

> Following the recess, Mr. Tuttle introduced Charles White, Dean of the Graduate School; Charles Spencer, Chairperson of the Health Sciences Department; and Roger Weller, Associate Professor of Health Education, all present to discuss the proposed Master's Degree Program in Health Education.

Mr. Friedhoff, Executive Committee Liaison to the Budget Committee, spoke about the report that had been distributed (copy appended to these minutes). The Budget Committee had been concerned with the allocation and reallocation of funds by and within the College of Applied Science and Technology, and within the University by the Provost. Academic implications of the program had not been discussed by the Budget Committee. The committee had tried to identify ways to free up faculty time.

Because Jack Razor, Dean of the College of Applied Science and Technology, was unable to attend, Ms. Webb-Lupo spoke to the issues raised by the Budget Committee Report on behalf of the College. In paragraph 3, one faculty member in the Health Sciences Department had a 6-hour teaching load which allowed for 1/4 time for administration and 1/4 time for research and thesis supervision. Regarding paragraph 4, no full time equivalent (FTE) was to be added at this time. A temporary line would become a permanent line. The budget for 1982 included a .5 FTE (paragraph 6) which had been committed to the department upon approval of the program; and, a .75 FTE was designated for research. The 2.00 FTE referred to in paragraph 6 were allocated to the Department of Applied Computer Sciences. She further commented that the resources needed for the program were already in place in the Department of Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance (i.e. travel, printing, commodities) and no additional funds in these categories were needed when the program was moved to Health Sciences. Mr. Friedhoff asked if there were currently faculty teaching more than 12 hours. There was one. Mr. Friedhoff then noted that this overload would cancel out

the 1/4 time released for research. Mr. Weller said that the faculty member carrying the overload was responsible for practice assignments and the hours varied. The course loads had changed since the spirng schedule was published.

Dean White said that over 500 hours of committee time had been spent in the development of this proposal and noted several unfortunate extenuating circumstances: Thirty percent of the current Senate was not present for the information session on March 18; the Budget Committee's review and study of the new program was a good practice, but it was unfortunate that it was not done before the Senate's information session; the potential decrease in general revenue funds influenced thinking about the new program; and, Dean Razor and Dr. Nolte, representing the concerned college and program, respectively, were not able to be present.

Dean White had been a long-time supporter of faculty governance and the Senate's prerogative to review academic programs. In response to the Budget Committee's Report, the program had undergone vigorous examination including outside consultants; it did meet demonstrated societal need, and was consistent with the scope and mission of the University. With implementation scheduled for the 1982-1983 school year, there was still time to obtain the additional resources prior to implementation. He felt that the reallocation of funds within a unit was an internal matter and the unit should be free to do this, and not be told how to do it. He was concerned the committee's recommendation regarding the elimination of new courses was too specific. It was a widely shared concern that new programs be supported with funds without hurting other programs. While the undergraduate level of this program was interdisciplinary, the graduate level was, by definition, more specialized. This was a struggling proposal, but the advantages outweighed the disadvantages. Students want the advanced degree. He reminded the Senate that the Graduate Council had passed a resolution that no new programs would be approved without new money. He concluded his remarks by noting that there were a number of departments where release time for thesis supervision was inadequate, and hoped the new program would not be penalized for this reason.

Mr. Shulman responded to some of Dean White's remarks. He felt it was appropriate for the Senate to make specific suggestions regarding academic programs. He applauded the Budget Committee for its report.

Mr. Ritt, a member of the Budget Committee, said that the problem here was that this proposal contained a request for additional funds but both Dean Razor and Dr. Spencer had said the program would run even if new money was not available. It had appeared that with the need to develop new graduate level courses there would be a gap in the resources available and the resources needed. The Budget Committee's suggestions were an effort to find some way for the department, independent of getting new money or additional faculty, to have the program. The reduction in undergraduate offerings would be consistent with the university's commitment to reduce undergraduate programs; the use of already existing courses in other departments would avoid further duplication of course content. He did favor approving the new program if there would be some assurance of its fiscal integrity.

XII-100 Mr. Madore moved the previous question (seconded by Ms. Ritch). The motion failed on a vote of 12:15. Mr. Reitan spoke in favor of the proposed program and said it was important for such a proposal to be fully discussed, reflecting the significance of research and the importance of graduate work at the university. He felt it should be left to the college and the department to determine the use of available resources and that graduate programs have typically been inadequately funded at ISU. Ways have been found to make programs work.

Mr. Nnamani asked about the negative effects of the reduction of the undergraduate offerings and was it a good way to obtain the necessary funding. Webb-Lupo said that specific procedures for reduction of undergraduate courses have not been determined. The program could operate with the current resources.

Mr. Friedhoff agreed that many graduate programs were in need of additional resources, which was not a good situation. He said there was concern that the approval of this program had fiscal implications for the entire university. If the Provost had two positions to move to the College of Applied Science and Technology, it had appeared that another area would be losing them. He reiterated that the Budget Committee had provided suggestions as to how the proposed program could be implemented without threatening other graduate programs in the university.

Mr. Colvin said the committee's main concern was, in view of future financial conditions, additions to what was already a heavy faculty load. Its suggestions were ways for the program to work, given the need to conserve resources.

Mr. Cohen said the Budget Committee's statement was generous. In the past, programs had been approved only if additional external funding was available. It was appropriate for the Senate to make a statement about funding and within the scope of the Senate to attach any type of rider to a proposal.

Mr. Hicklin said that, historically, the Senate had attached a rider to almost any program and when it had not, it was like giving a blank check with no budget restraints.

Dean White said he agreed with most of the statements and, at no time, questioned the prerogative of the Senate. He questioned this particular form of that prerogative.

- XII-101 Ms. Goven moved the previous question (seconded by Mr. Nnamani). On a show of hands, the motion failed 13:23 with one abstension.
- XII-102 Mr. Brandon moved consideration of the proposal be postponed until the next meeting. The motion died for lack of a second.
- XII-103 Mr. Shulman moved the following amendment to the motion to approve the proposal: That the Department of Health Sciences and the College of Applied Science and Technology consider items 1 and 2 (page 2 of the Budget Committee Report) suggested by the Budget Committee. The motion was seconded by Mr. Colvin.

Mr. Friedberg asked for interpretation of "consider." It was the interpretation of the chairperson and the parliamentarian that the suggestions could be taken seriously, or not, as the College or Department pleased.

Mr. Tuttle declared a 5-minute recess at 8:45 p.m.

Following the recess, Mr. Shulman withdrew his motion (agreed to by Mr. Colvin).

- XII-104 Mr. Shulman moved to amend the motion for approval as follows: Program approval was contingent upon external funding or reallocation of presently existing resources within the College of Applied Science and Technology. If internal reallocation proved to be necessary, the Senate recommends that, among options considered, the following two be included: (1) reduce their undergraduate offerings in order to provide additional FTE for the new program, and (2) eliminate some of the new course proposals and use comparable courses from those presently being offered in other departments throughout the University. It was seconded by Mr. Colvin.
- XII-105 Mr. Friedhoff moved the previous question (seconded by Mr. Brandon). The motion passed on a voice vote.

The amendment passed on a voice vote with no dissension.

XII-106 Mr. Cohen moved the previous question (seconded by Ms. Anderson). The motion passed on a voice.

The motion to approve the Master's Degree Program in Health Education, as amended, passed on a voice vote, with one no vote.

Executive Session

Mr. Tuttle called for an executive session at 9 p.m. to discuss nominations submitted by departments for Academic Freedom Committee and Ethics and Grievance Committee.

Communications

Following the 10-minutes executive session, Mr. Tuttle announced that internal committees would meet following adjournment of the Senate meeting. Each committee should elect a chairperson and a secretary (see appendix).

Adjournment

XII-107 On a motion by Mr. Friedhoff (seconded by Mr. Brickell), the meeting adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

For the Academic Senate,

John Brickell, Secretary

GT:JB:pch

.

.

-7-Late: 4/15/81 Volume No: XII No. 14

-

	*	VOTE							***	VOICE	-		
NAME	ATTEN- DANCE	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Moton #		Motion No.	Y		
Anderson	Р									98	x	1	
Antas	P								L	99	1 x	1	
Boothe	EX. ·									100	112		
Bowen	EX.									101	13	23 1	l ab
Brandon	P									102		2nd	
Brickell	P									103		hdra	awn
Brooks	EX.									104	x		
Brown	EX.									105	x		
Cardosi	P				1			1	ī	106	1 x	_	
Cohen	P			1				1	ī	107	x		
Collier	P								ī	1.07	1	1	
Colvin	P								T		i		
Crafts	EX.			1					ī		1		
	P										1		
Denny	P								T		1		
Dohrmann	P								1		-		
Estes	P								11		-	-	
Fisher								and the owner water w	1			-	
Friedberg	P								11				
Friedhoff	P										1		
Gabehart	Р								1				
Gamsky	EX.					*			11				
Gowen.	P	11							1		1		
Grever	P								1		!		
Hemenway	EX.								1				
Hicklin .	P								11				
Hobbs	P								1				
Koerselman									U				
Larson	P								11				
Madore	P				*				11				
Nnamani	P								11				
Parkin	P								11				
Pontius	P	11							11		1		
Reitan	P								II				
Ritch	P	11						1	II		1		
Ritt	P								ii		1		
Schmaltz	P			1		1	1		TI				
Shulman		11					1		ii		1		
Spoor		11						-	11				
Strand	P	1							11				
Tuttle	P								11		-	-	
Varner	P								11				
	EX.	1	1						H			_	
Watkins Watson		1							-			-	
Webber	LA.								11		1		
the second s									11				
Webb-Lupo	I							-	L		1		
White Willis								-	11		1		
									11				
Woodson									11				
Young	I P							1	11				

- 3- - 0

1

THE REPORT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE REGARDING THE HEALTH EDUCATION PROPOSAL

Members present: Anderson, Cohen, Colvin, Friedhoff, Gabehart, Ritt Members absent: Hobbs Visitor: Charles Spencer, Chairperson, Health Sciences Department Meeting: April 13, 1981

The following statement comes from the Academic Plan, 1981-1986, page 5, number 5: "Examine rigorously a few potential new academic degree programs, approve those which meet demonstrated societal needs and are consistent with the scope and mission of the University, and assure that additional resources needed to support new degree programs are obtained prior to implementation rather than funding such programs through internal reallocation, except where necessary reallocation can be accomplished <u>WITHIN THE UNIT PROPOSING THE NEW PROGRAM</u>.

This statement guided the review of the proposed program. The last phrase is underscored to point out a potential problem alluded to later, as well as the meaning of "unit."

Dr. Spencer pointed out to the committee that at this time all faculty in the department are on 12 semester hour loads and that <u>no</u> faculty have as part of their load released time for research or thesis supervision.

The new proposal, if approved with the addition of 1.00 FTE added to the 16.50 FTE allocated for FY-82, adds six new courses to the present offerings of the department. The result would be that there is little, <u>if any</u>, time allowed faculty for research or for thesis supervision except as these activities are assumed as overloads.

At the last meeting of the Senate when this was an information item, Dean Razor stated that he could come up with the position called for by reallocation within CAST in the event that the proposal was approved, but the money to support it was not. However, it should be noted that on March 16, 1981, in a report from the Provost's Office, Faculty Staffing for 1981-1982 for CAST was to include an addition of 2.00 FTE as a function of REALLOCATION from other colleges in the University. If this is the source of the FTE Dean Razor would assign to the Department of Health Sciences, then it is inconsistent with the above statement from the Academic Plan.

If Dean Razor was able to guarantee the additional FTE prior to reallocation of faculty lines by the Provost, then why was it necessary to provide 2.00 FTE to CAST. (It should be mentioned here that the Faculty Staffing Report does show that the Dean is holding .50 FTE for the purpose of Direct Research.) It is possible that this provides at least .50 of the FTE necessary for the program.

The Senate should know the rationale for the Provost reallocating 2.00 FTE to CAST.

Given the above, it is inconsistent with the Academic Plan statement to approve the program.

Failing to obtain external funding, the committee recommends consideration of the following alternatives.

If the Department of Health Sciences and CAST agree to

(2) eliminate some of the new course proposals and use comparable courses from those presently being offered in other departments throughout the University,

-9-

then, to the extent that it can be demonstrated that faculty will have released time for research and thesis supervision, the Budget Committee would agree that the program is fiscally responsible.

pch 4/14/81

-10-

ACADEMIC SENATE MEMBERSHIP as of April 1, 1981

nderson, Faye Antas, Craig Boothe, Leon Bowen, Mack Brooks, Greg Brown, Mary Jo Cardosi, Julie Cohen, Ira, Collier, Kenneth Colvin, Barry A. Denny, Kevin Dohrmann, Paul *Estes, Lisa Fisher, Bonnie Friedberg, Stephen *Friedhoff, Walter Gabehart, Scott Gamsky, Neal Gowen, Julie Grever, Glenn Hobbs, Jack *Koerselman, Herbert Music Madore, Normand Parkin, Ronald Pontius, David Reitan, Earl Ritch, Pam Ritt, Robert Schmaltz, Leonard Shulman, Sol Strand, David *Tuttle, George *Varner, Iris *Watkins, Lloyd Watson, Don *Webber, Charles *Webb-Lupo, Anita Home Economics White, Brian Willis, Michael Woodson, William Young, Robert

617 Hamilton Hall 8-5369 99 E. Stewart, Apt. 7, Normal 452-0293 VP & Provost 8-2155 Specialized Educational Development 8-5829 *Brandon, Walter C. 108 West Cherry, Normal *Brickell, John Educational Administrati 454-2797 Educational Administration & Foundations 8-5155 119 Smith House 6-8230 Milner Library 8-3675 102 E. Cherry, Apt. 3, Normal 454-3652 History 8-2559 1057 Manchester Hall 6-6715 467-4846 104 S. Callendar St., Eureka, 61530 Crafts, Virginia - Health, PE, Recreation & Dance 8-5955 204 Pickering House 6-8604 Health, PE, Recreation & Dance 8-8302 1219 West Hovey, #54, Normal 1002 Franklin Ave., Normal 454-3295 Mathematics 8-7788 Psychology 6-8020 306 Smith House 6-8908 VP & Dean of Student Affairs 6-5451 Philosophy 6-7094 English 8-7587 Hemenway, Robert Specialized Educational Development 6-8524 Hicklin, Charles Curriculum & Instruction 6-6257 8-5329 Art 6-7631 Larson, Margaret 205 Jefferson House 6-8992 Curriculum & Instruction 6-5415 Nnamani, Chika Political Science (graduate student) 8-8638 Political Science (graduate student) 8-8638 8-2384 Industrial Technology History 8-8457 Theatre 8-2644 Mathematics 8-7975 Psychology Chemistry 8-7005 6-8554 Spoor, R.W. (Rick) 916 S. Adelaide, Normal 452-5897 VP for Business & Finance 8-2143 Communication 8-7339 8-7144 Business Education & Administrative Services 8-5677 President of the University 707 Dale, Normal 452-2055 Student Association 5-5551 8-2512 452-07:7 700 N. Linden, Normal 230 Whitten Hall 6-635" 8-3957 English Physics 6-5347

*Executive Committee

Parliamentarian: John Boaz

ACADEMIC AFFAIRS Craig Antas (secretary) Leon Boothe (ex officio) Mack Bowen Julie Cardosi Kevin Denny Stephen Friedberg Julie Gowen Leonard Schmaltz (chairperson) Iris Varner (liaison)

ADMINISTRATIVE AFFAIRS Lisa Estes (liaison) Robert Hemenway Charles Hicklin Margaret Larson Normand Madore David Pontius R. W. Spoor (chairperson) David Strand (ex officio) Anita Webb-Lupo (secretary) (liaison)

BUDGET

Faye Anderson (liaison) Leon Boothe (ex officio) Ira Cohen (chairperson) Barry Colvin (Budget Team Representative) Walter Friedhoff (liaison) Scott Gabehart (secretary) Jack Hobbs Robert Ritt (Budget Team Representative)

FACULTY AFFAIRS Leon Boothe (ex officio) John Brickell (liaison) Virginia Crafts (chairperson) Ronald Parkin Earl Reitan Pamela Ritch Michael Willis William Woodson (secretary)

RULES

Kenneth Collier Bonnie Fisher (secretary) Glenn Grever Herb Koerselman (liaison) Sol Shulman (chairperson) Don Watson Robert Young

STUDENT AFFAIRS Walter Brandon (liaison) Greg Brooks Mary Jo Brown Paul Dohrmann Neal Gamsky (ex officio) Chika Nnamani (secretary) Charles Webber (liaison) Brian White (chairperson)